2012 PRC Community Health Needs Assessment Report

Peach County, Georgia

Sponsored by Peach Regional Medical Center, Central Georgia Health System



Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

11326 "P" Street • Omaha, Nebraska 68137-2316 (800) 428-7455 • www.prconline.com • 2011-1507-02 • © PRC, 2012

Table Of Contents

INTRODUCTION

Project Overview	6
Project Goals	6
Methodology	6
Key Informant Focus Groups	9
Summary of Findings	12
Areas of Opportunity for Community Health Improvement	12
Top Community Health Concerns Among Community Key Informants	14
Summary Tables: Comparisons With Benchmark Data	15
GENERAL HEALTH STATUS	27
Overall Health Status	28
Self-Reported Health Status	28

Sell-Reported Health Status	28
Activity Limitations	30
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	
Mental Health Status	34
Depression	35
Stress	37
Suicide	39
Mental Health Treatment	39

DEATH, DISEASE & CHRONIC CONDITIONS 42

Leading Causes of Death	43
Distribution of Deaths by Cause	43
Age-Adjusted Death Rates for Selected Causes	43
Cardiovascular Disease	45
Age-Adjusted Heart Disease & Stroke Deaths	45
Prevalence of Heart Disease & Stroke	47
Cardiovascular Risk Factors	49
Cancer	56
Age-Adjusted Cancer Deaths	56
Prevalence of Cancer	57
Cancer Screenings	58
Respiratory Disease	64
Age-Adjusted Respiratory Disease Deaths	65
Prevalence of Respiratory Conditions	66
Injury & Violence	
Leading Causes of Accidental Death	70
Unintentional Injury	70
Intentional Injury (Violence)	75
Diabetes	80
Age-Adjusted Diabetes Deaths	80
Prevalence of Diabetes	81
Diabetes Treatment	82
Alzheimer's Disease	
Age-Adjusted Alzheimer's Disease Deaths	83
Kidney Disease	
Age-Adjusted Kidney Disease Deaths	84
Potentially Disabling Conditions	
Arthritis, Osteoporosis, & Chronic Pain	
Vision & Hearing Impairment	88

INFECTIOUS DISEASE

Vaccine-Preventable Conditions	91
Measles, Mumps, Rubella & Pertussis	91
Influenza & Pneumonia Vaccination	92
Flu Vaccinations	92
Pneumonia Vaccination	93
HIV	95
Age-Adjusted HIV/AIDS Deaths	95
HIV Testing	96
Sexually Transmitted Diseases	97
Gonorrhea	98
Syphilis	99
Chlamydia	99
Acute Hepatitis B	100
Safe Sexual Practices	102

BIRTHS

104

110

Birth Outcomes & Risks	105
Low-Weight Births	105
Infant Mortality	106
Family Planning	107
Births to Unwed Mothers	107
Births to Teen Mothers	108

MODIFIABLE HEALTH RISKS

Actual Causes Of Death	
Nutrition	
Daily Recommendation of Fruits/Vegetables Health Advice About Diet & Nutrition	113 114
Physical Activity	
Level of Activity at Work Leisure-Time Physical Activity Activity Levels Health Advice About Physical Activity & Exercise	117 117 118 120
Weight Status	
Adult Weight Status Weight Management	123 127
Substance Abuse	
Age-Adjusted Cirrhosis/Liver Disease Deaths High-Risk Alcohol Use Illicit Drug Use Alcohol & Drug Treatment	130 130 134 135
Tobacco Use	
Cigarette Smoking Other Tobacco Use	137 140

ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES 142

Health Insurance Coverage	
Type of Healthcare Coverage	143
Lack of Health Insurance Coverage	145
Difficulties Accessing Healthcare	
Difficulties Accessing Services	149
Barriers to Healthcare Access	150
Prescriptions	151
Accessing Healthcare for Children	152

Primary Care Services	
Specific Source of Ongoing Care	153
Utilization of Primary Care Services	155
Emergency Room Utilization	157
Oral Health	
Dental Care	159
Dental Insurance	161
Vision Care	

HEALTH EDUCATION & OUTREACH

163

168

Healthcare Information Sources1	64
Participation in Health Promotion Events1	65

LOCAL HEALTHCARE

Perceptions of Local Healthcare Services	169
Provider Considerations	
Collaboration	171
Special Populations	172

INTRODUCTION



Project Overview

Project Goals

This Community Health Needs Assessment is a systematic, data-driven approach to determining the health status, behaviors and needs of residents in Peach County, Georgia. Subsequently, this information may be used to inform decisions and guide efforts to improve community health and wellness.

A Community Health Needs Assessment provides the information needed so that communities may identify issues of greatest concern and decide to commit resources to those areas, thereby making the greatest possible impact on community health status. This Community Health Needs Assessment will serve as a tool toward reaching three basic goals:

- To improve residents' health status, increase their life spans, and elevate their overall quality of life. A healthy community is not only one where its residents suffer little from physical and mental illness, but also one where its residents enjoy a high quality of life.
- **To reduce the health disparities among residents.** By gathering demographic information along with health status and behavior data, it will be possible to identify population segments that are most at-risk for various diseases and injuries. Intervention plans aimed at targeting these individuals may then be developed to combat some of the socio-economic factors which have historically had a negative impact on residents' health.
- To increase accessibility to preventive services for all community residents. More accessible preventive services will prove beneficial in accomplishing the first goal (improving health status, increasing life spans, and elevating the quality of life), as well as lowering the costs associated with caring for late-stage diseases resulting from a lack of preventive care.

This assessment was conducted on behalf of Peach Regional Medical Center and Central Georgia Health System by Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (PRC). PRC is a nationally-recognized healthcare consulting firm with extensive experience conducting Community Health Needs Assessments such as this in hundreds of communities across the United States since 1994.

Methodology

This assessment incorporates data from both quantitative and qualitative sources. Quantitative data input includes primary research (the PRC Community Health Survey) and secondary research (vital statistics and other existing health-related data); these quantitative components allow for trending and comparison to benchmark data at the state and national levels. Qualitative data input includes primary research gathered through Key Informant Focus Groups.

PRC Community Health Survey

Survey Instrument

The survey instrument used for this study is based largely on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), as well as various other public health surveys and customized questions addressing gaps in indicator data relative to health promotion and disease prevention objectives and other recognized health issues. The final survey instrument was developed by Central Georgia Health System and PRC.

Community Defined for This Assessment

The study area for the survey effort (referred to as "Peach County" in this report) includes both of the ZIP Codes defining Peach County, Georgia (31008 and 31030). A geographic description is illustrated in the following map.



Sample Approach & Design

A precise and carefully executed methodology is critical in asserting the validity of the results gathered in the *PRC Community Health Survey*. Thus, to ensure the best representation of the population surveyed, a telephone interview methodology — one that incorporates both landline and cell phone interviews — was employed. The primary advantages of telephone interviewing are timeliness, efficiency and random-selection capabilities.

The sample design used for this effort consisted of a random sample of 200 individuals age 18 and older in Peach County. All administration of the surveys, data collection and data analysis was conducted by Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (PRC).

Sampling Error

For statistical purposes, the maximum rate of error associated with a sample size of 200 respondents is $\pm 6.9\%$ at the 95 percent level of confidence.



Sample Characteristics

To accurately represent the population studied, PRC strives to minimize bias through application of a proven telephone methodology and random-selection techniques. And, while this random sampling of the population produces a highly representative sample, it is a common and preferred practice to "weight" the raw data to improve this representativeness even further. This is accomplished by adjusting the results of a random sample to match the geographic distribution and demographic characteristics of the population surveyed (poststratification), so as to eliminate any naturally occurring bias. Specifically, once the raw data are gathered, respondents are examined by key demographic characteristics (namely gender, age, race, ethnicity, and poverty status) and a statistical application package applies weighting variables that produce a sample which more closely matches the population for these characteristics. Thus, while the integrity of each individual's responses is maintained, one respondent's responses may contribute to the whole the same weight as, for example, 1.1 respondents. Another respondent, whose demographic characteristics may have been slightly oversampled, may contribute the same weight as 0.9 respondents.

The following charts outline the characteristics of the Peach County sample for key demographic variables, compared to actual population characteristics revealed in census data. [Note that the sample consisted solely of area residents age 18 and older; data on children were given by proxy by the person most responsible for that child's healthcare needs, and these children are not represented demographically in this chart.]



Further note that the poverty descriptions and segmentation used in this report are based on administrative poverty thresholds determined by the US Department of Health & Human Services. These guidelines define poverty status by household income level and number of persons in the household (*e.g., the 2012 guidelines place the poverty threshold for a family of four at \$23,050 annual household income or lower*). In sample segmentation: "**low income**" refers to community members living in a household with defined poverty status <u>or</u> living just above the poverty level, earning up to twice the poverty threshold; "**mid/high income**" refers to those households living on incomes which are twice or more the federal poverty level.

The sample design and the quality control procedures used in the data collection ensure that the sample is representative. Thus, the findings may be generalized to the total population of community members in the defined area with a high degree of confidence.

Key Informant Focus Groups

Three focus groups were conducted as part of this community health needs assessment, including two discussion regional health needs and one discussing Peach County health needs specifically. A total of 18 key informants took part in these groups, including physicians, other health professionals, social service providers, business leaders and other community leaders.

Discussion Focus [Participant Types]	Date
Peach County Needs [Healthcare Providers/Community Leaders]	March 6, 2012
Regional Needs [Healthcare Providers]	March 7, 2012
Regional Needs [Community Leaders]	March 7, 2012

A list of recommended participants for the focus groups was provided by the sponsors. Potential participants were chosen because of their ability to identify primary concerns of the populations with whom they work, as well as of the community overall. Participants included a representative of public health, as well as several individuals who work with low-income, minority or other medically underserved populations, and those who work with persons with chronic disease conditions.

Focus group candidates were first contacted by letter to request their participation. Follow-up phone calls were then made to ascertain whether or not they would be able to attend. Confirmation calls were placed the day before the groups were scheduled to insure a reasonable turnout.

Audio from the focus groups sessions was recorded, from which verbatim comments in this report are taken. There are no names connected with the comments, as participants were asked to speak candidly and assured of confidentiality.

NOTE: These findings represent qualitative rather than quantitative data. The groups were designed to gather input from participants regarding their opinions and perceptions of the health of the residents in the area. Thus, these findings are based on perceptions, not facts.

Public Health, Vital Statistics & Other Data

A variety of existing (secondary) data sources was consulted to complement the research quality of this Community Health Needs Assessment. Data for Peach County were obtained from the following sources (specific citations are included with the graphs throughout this report):

- Centers for Disease Control & Prevention
- GeoLytics Demographic Estimates & Projections
- National Center for Health Statistics
- Georgia Bureau of Investigation
- Georgia Department of Public Health
- US Census Bureau
- US Department of Health and Human Services
- US Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation

Note that secondary data reflect county-level data.

Benchmark Data

Georgia Risk Factor Data

Statewide risk factor data are provided where available as an additional benchmark against which to compare local survey findings; these data are reported in the most recent *BRFSS (Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System) Prevalence and Trend Data* published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the US Department of Health & Human Services. State-level vital statistics are also provided for comparison of secondary data indicators.

Nationwide Risk Factor Data

Nationwide risk factor data, which are also provided in comparison charts, are taken from the *2011 PRC National Health Survey*; the methodological approach for the national study is identical to that employed in this assessment, and these data may be generalized to

the US population with a high degree of confidence. National-level vital statistics are also provided for comparison of secondary data indicators.

Healthy People 2020



Healthy People provides science-based, 10-year national objectives for improving the health of all Americans. The Healthy People initiative is grounded in the principle that setting national objectives and monitoring progress can motivate action. For three decades, Healthy People has

established benchmarks and monitored progress over time in order to:

- Encourage collaborations across sectors.
- Guide individuals toward making informed health decisions.
- Measure the impact of prevention activities.

Healthy People 2020 is the product of an extensive stakeholder feedback process that is unparalleled in government and health. It integrates input from public health and prevention experts, a wide range of federal, state and local government officials, a consortium of more than 2,000 organizations, and perhaps most importantly, the public. More than 8,000 comments were considered in drafting a comprehensive set of Healthy People 2020 objectives.

Information Gaps

While this assessment is quite comprehensive, it cannot measure all possible aspects of health in the community, nor can it adequately represent all possible populations of interest. It must be recognized that these information gaps might in some ways limit the ability to assess all of the community's health needs.

For example, certain population groups — such as the homeless, institutionalized persons, or those who only speak a language other than English or Spanish — are not represented in the survey data. Other population groups — for example, pregnant women, lesbian/gay/bisexual/transgender residents, undocumented residents, and members of certain racial/ethnic or immigrant groups — might not be identifiable or might not be represented in numbers sufficient for independent analyses.

In terms of content, this assessment was designed to provide a comprehensive and broad picture of the health of the overall community. However, there are certainly a great number of medical conditions that are not specifically addressed.

Summary of Findings

Areas of Opportunity for Community Health Improvement

The following "health priorities" represent recommended areas of intervention, based on the information gathered through this Community Health Needs Assessment and the guidelines set forth in *Healthy People 2020*. From these data, opportunities for health improvement exist in the region with regard to the following health areas (see also the summary tables presented in the following section).

Peach County: Areas of Opportunity Identified Through This Assessment

Access to Health Services	 Lack of Healthcare Coverage (18-64) Cost as a Barrier to Medical Care Cost as a Barrier to Prescription Medication Prescription Misuse Source of Ongoing Care (Medical Homes) Use of the Emergency Room Rating of Local Healthcare Services
Arthritis, Osteoporosis & Back Conditions	 Prevalence of Arthritis/Rheumatism (50+) Activity Limitations
Cancer	Cancer Deaths
Chronic Kidney Disease	Kidney Disease Deaths
Diabetes	Diabetes DeathsPrevalence of Diabetes
Heart Disease & Stroke	Heart Disease DeathsStroke Deaths
HIV	HIV/AIDS Deaths
Injury & Violence Prevention	 Unintentional Injury Deaths Motor Vehicle Crash Deaths Firearm-Related Deaths Prevalence of Guns in the Home (Including Homes w/Children) Violent Crime Rate Prevalence of Violent Crime Domestic Violence Rate Prevalence of Domestic Violence
Maternal, Infant & Child Health	Infant Deaths
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	Suicide Deaths
Nutrition, Physical Activity & Weight Status	 Prevalence of Overweight/Obesity Sedentary Employment
Oral Health	Routine Dental Care (Adults)
Sexually Transmitted Diseases	Gonorrhea IncidenceChlamydia IncidenceHepatitis B Vaccination
Substance Abuse	Cirrhosis/Liver Disease Deaths
Tobacco Use	 Current Smokers Secondhand Smoke in the Home (Including Homes w/Children) Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Deaths

Top Community Health Concerns Among Community Key Informants

At the conclusion of each key informant focus group, participants were asked to write down what they individually perceive as the top five health priorities for the community, based on the group discussion as well as on their own experiences and perceptions. Their responses were collected, categorized and tallied to produce the top-ranked priorities as identified among key informants. These should be used to complement and corroborate findings that emerge from the quantitative dataset.

1. Education/Prevention

 Mentioned resources available to address this issue: Georgia Department of Health Services Division of Family & Children Services; social workers; school districts; Boys & Girls Clubs; Macon Chamber of Commerce; Heartworks; medical providers; Middle Georgia Family Connection; Area Agency on Aging; Rainbow Center; Central City Aids; United Way 211.

2. Access

 Mentioned resources available to address this issue: Medicaid transport; Macon Transit; Macon Volunteer Clinic; Anderson clinic; local health departments; Medical Center of Central Georgia; First Choice; Peach Regional Medical Center.

3. Obesity & Physical Activity

• Mentioned resources available to address this issue: Veggie Van; farmer's market; local recreation centers; University of Georgia Cooperative Extension; local gyms.

4. Mental Health

 Mentioned resources available to address this issue: River Edge; Macon Coliseum Hospital; Medical Center of Central Georgia; HODAC; Phoenix Center; local health departments; Loaves & Fishes Ministry; Macon Rescue Mission; Community Health Works; First Choice Primary Care.

5. Substance Abuse

 Mentioned resources available to address this issue: River Edge; Macon Coliseum Hospital; HODAC; Georgia Meth Project; Phoenix Center; local health departments; Gateway Cottage Residential Program; DARE program.

Summary Tables: Comparisons With Benchmark Data

The following tables provide an overview of indicators in Peach County, including comparisons among the individual communities. These data are grouped to correspond with the Focus Areas presented in Healthy People 2020.

Reading the Summary Tables

In the following charts, Peach County results are shown in the larger, blue column.

■ The columns to the right of the Peach County column provide comparisons between Peach County and any available state and national findings, and Healthy People 2020 targets. Again, symbols indicate whether Peach County compares favorably (\$), unfavorably (\$), or comparably ([△]) to these external data.

Note that blank table cells signify that data are not available or are not reliable for that area and/or for that indicator.

	Peach	chmarks	
Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
29.4	18.7	14.9	0.0
95.9		<u>6</u> 93.9	
8.4		É	
44.2		É	
16.0		£	
29.5		-	
28.1		-	
15.9		£	
15.0		É	
10.4		Ŕ	
21.6		-	
0.0		*	
58.9		-	05.0
56.2		-	95.0
73.0		£	03.4
83.2		£	
15.2		-	
	29.4 95.9 8.4 44.2 16.0 29.5 28.1 15.9 15.0 10.4 21.6 0.0 58.9 56.2 73.0 83.2	Peach County vs. GA 29.4 18.7 95.9 18.7 95.9 1 8.4 1 44.2 1 16.0 1 29.5 1 29.5 1 28.1 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 10.4 1 21.6 1 0.0 1 58.9 1 56.2 1 73.0 1 83.2 1	VS. GA VS. GA VS. GA 29.4 18.7 14.9 95.9 29.4 18.7 95.9 29.39 33.9 8.4 29.5 37.3 16.0 14.3 37.3 16.0 14.3 14.9 29.5 15.0 15.0 28.1 14.0 14.0 15.9 28.1 14.0 15.9 28.1 14.3 0.0 10.7 10.4 29.5 15.0 10.7 10.4 29.5 15.0 10.7 10.7 10.4 29.5 15.0 10.7 10.7 10.4 29.5 15.0 10.7 10.7 10.4 29.5 15.0 10.7 10.7 10.4 29.5 15.0 10.7 10.4 29.5 20.5 15.0 10.7 10.4 20.5 20.5 19.9 58.9 76.3 76.3 75.1

		Peach County vs. Benchmarks			
Access to Health Services (continued)	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020	
% Rate Local Healthcare "Fair/Poor"	22.2		15.3		
		پې better	similar	worse	

		Peach	chmarks	
Arthritis, Osteoporosis & Chronic Back Conditions	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% [50+] Arthritis/Rheumatism	47.7		35.4	
% [50+] Osteoporosis	8.2		<u>ک</u> 11.4	公 5.3
% Sciatica/Chronic Back Pain	25.3		<u>ح</u> ے 21.5	
% Migraine/Severe Headaches	20.2		<u>ب</u> 16.9	
% Chronic Neck Pain	12.5		۲ <u>۲</u> 8.3	
		پ better	순 similar	worse

		Peach	chmarks	
Cancer	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
Cancer (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	229.0	1 91.7	187.9	160.6
% Skin Cancer	6.5		<u>ح</u> ے 8.1	
% Cancer (Other Than Skin)	4.6		<u>ح</u> ے 5.5	
% [Men 50+] Prostate Exam in Past 2 Years	79.4		2 70.5	

Cancer (continued)		Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% [Women 21-65] Pap Smear in Past 3 Years	80.6	<u>ح</u> ے 86.6	<u>ح</u> 84.7	93.0
% [Age 50+] Sigmoid/Colonoscopy Ever	78.3	6 7.7	<i>会</i> 72.0	
% [Age 50+] Blood Stool Test in Past 2 Years	45.2	21.7	X 28.3	
% [Age 50-75] Colorectal Cancer Screening	80.2			X 70.5
		🔅 better	ے۔ similar	worse

		Peach	chmarks	
Chronic Kidney Disease	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
Kidney Disease (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	34.0	20.7	14.2	
		💢 better	ි similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Ben	chmarks
Diabetes	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
Diabetes Mellitus (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	43.0	21.6	24.2	19.6
% Diabetes/High Blood Sugar	17.3	9 .7	10.1	
		💢 better	<u>ج</u> similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Bend	hmarks
Dementias, Including Alzheimer's Disease	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
Alzheimer's Disease (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	24.4			
		24.6	21.1	
		پن better	<u>ج</u> ے similar	worse

	Peach County	Peach County vs. Benchmarks		
Educational & Community-Based Programs		vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% Attended Health Event in Past Year	14.3		22.2	
		پې better	<u>ج</u> ے similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
Family Planning	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% of Births to Unwed Mothers	49.9	44.8	40.4	
% Births to Teenagers (15-17)	5.1	X 5.5	3.2	
		پن better	ے similar	worse

General Health Status		Peach County vs. Benchmarks			
	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020	
% "Fair/Poor" Physical Health	23.6	16.0	16.8		
% Activity Limitations	24.3	순 19.3	17.0		
		💢 better	similar	worse	

	Peach County	Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
Hearing & Other Sensory or Communication Disorders		vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% Deafness/Trouble Hearing	11.6		Ŕ	
			9.6	
		پې better	<u>ج</u> similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
Heart Disease & Stroke	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
Diseases of the Heart (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	271.5	239.5	223.4	152.7
Stroke (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	62.5	순 60.0	52.8	33.8
% Heart Disease (Heart Attack, Angina, Coronary Disease)	6.4		<u>ک</u> 6.1	
% Stroke	5.7	2.8	۲ <u>۲</u> 2.7	
% Blood Pressure Checked in Past 2 Years	94.5		<u>ح</u> 94.7	<u>ب</u> 94.9
% Told Have High Blood Pressure (Ever)	39.9	31.3	د 34.3	26.9
% [HBP] Taking Action to Control High Blood Pressure	93.3		<u>ک</u> 89.1	
% Cholesterol Checked in Past 5 Years	91.0	78.8	2 90.7	※ 82.1
% Told Have High Cholesterol (Ever)	32.6	公式	<u>ک</u> 31.4	13.5
% [HBC] Taking Action to Control High Blood Cholesterol	86.8		<u>6</u> 89.1	
% 1+ Cardiovascular Risk Factor	86.7		<u>ک</u> 86.3	
		🗱 better	순 similar	worse

	Peach County vs. Benchmarks			
HIV	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
HIV/AIDS (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	13.6	7.1	4.5	3.3
% [Age 18-44] HIV Test in the Past Year	29.0		<u>کے</u> 19.9	<u>6</u>
		پ better	순 similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
Immunization & Infectious Diseases	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% [High-Risk 18-64] Flu Shot in Past Year	59.7		<u>ح</u> ے 52.5	90.0
% [High-Risk 18-64] Pneumonia Vaccine Ever	58.9		※ 32.0	60.0
% Ever Vaccinated for Hepatitis B	28.8		38.4	
		🂢 better	similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
Injury & Violence Prevention	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
Unintentional Injury (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	55.0	43.0	37.6	36.0
Motor Vehicle Crashes (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	22.4	18.2	14.9	12.4
% "Always" Wear Seat Belt	85.6		<i>公</i> 85.3	92.4
% Child [Age 0-17] "Always" Uses Seat Belt/Car Seat	91.2		<u>ب</u> 91.6	
Firearm-Related Deaths (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	13.3	순 12.9	10.2	9.2
% Firearm in Home	53.4		37.9	
% [Homes With Children] Firearm in Home	52.7		34.4	
% [Homes With Firearms] Weapon(s) Unlocked & Loaded	30.1		16.9	
Violent Crime per 100,000	628.0	424.3	429.2	
% Victim of Violent Crime in Past 5 Years	6.0		1.6	
Domestic Violence Offenses per 100,000	930.9	633.7		

		Peach County vs. Benchmarks		
Injury & Violence Prevention (continued)	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% Ever Threatened With Violence by Intimate Partner	18.6		11.7	
% Victim of Domestic Violence (Ever)	19.4		13.5	
		پې better	<i>公</i> similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
Maternal, Infant & Child Health	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% of Low Birthweight Births	9.7	<u>ح</u> ے 9.5	8.2	7.8
Infant Death Rate	12.3	8.4	6.9	6.0
		پن better	公 similar	worse

		Peach	hmarks	
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% "Fair/Poor" Mental Health	10.8		Ŕ	
			11.7	
% Major Depression	8.8		Ŕ	
			11.7	
% Symptoms of Chronic Depression (2+ Years)	27.0		£	
			26.5	
Suicide (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	15.1	**		
		10.8	10.9	10.2
% Have Ever Sought Help for Mental Health	18.6			
			24.4	
% Typical Day Is "Extremely/Very" Stressful	8.7		É	
			11.5	
		*	É	-
		better	similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
Nutrition & Weight Status	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% Eat 5+ Servings of Fruit or Vegetables per Day	42.2		£2	
% Medical Advice on Nutrition in Past Year	41.6		48.8	
% Healthy Weight (BMI 18.5-24.9)	25.8		41.9	
% Overweight	74.2	65.7	31.7	33.9
% Obese	37.7	30.4	28.5	30.6
% [Overweights] Perceive Self "About the Right Weight"	22.0			
% Medical Advice on Weight in Past Year	31.9		25.7	
% [Overweights] Counseled About Weight in Past Year	38.3		É	
% [Obese Adults] Counseled About Weight in Past Year	56.4		30.9	
% [Overweights] Trying to Lose Weight Both Diet/Exercise	40.5		47.4	31.8
		i better	38.6 ເ∕⊂ similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
Oral Health	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% [Age 18+] Dental Visit in Past Year	51.9	70.2	66.9	<u>ح</u> ے 49.0
% Child [Age 2-17] Dental Visit in Past Year	81.8		<i>公</i> 79.2	** 49.0
% Have Dental Insurance	53.8		<u>ح</u> ے 60.8	
		پن better	<u>ج</u> ے similar	worse

Physical Activity		Peach	County vs. Bend	hmarks
	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% [Employed] Job Entails Mostly Sitting/Standing	76.4		63.2	
% No Leisure-Time Physical Activity	31.3	谷 25.1	28.7	公式
% Meeting Physical Activity Guidelines	39.5	谷 45.7	<u>ب</u> 42.7	
% Moderate Physical Activity	23.7		23.9	
% Vigorous Physical Activity	35.0	2 7.5	✓34.8	
% Medical Advice on Physical Activity in Past Year	46.9		<i>4</i> 7.8	
		پن better	ے similar	worse

		Peach	County vs. Benchmarks vs. US vs. HP2020		
Respiratory Diseases	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020	
CLRD (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	49.1	£			
Pneumonia/Influenza (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	24.1	46.8	42.9		
% Nasal/Hay Fever Allergies	29.8	20.0	20.3 27.3		
% Sinusitis	19.3		27.3 23 19.4		
% Chronic Lung Disease	12.8		8.4		
% [Adult] Currently Has Asthma	10.1	谷 7.8	7.5		
% [Child 0-17] Currently Has Asthma	6.4	1.0	6.8		
		پ better	0.0 දුයි similar	worse	

		Peach	County vs. Bend	chmarks
Sexually Transmitted Diseases	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
Gonorrhea Incidence per 100,000	277.6	164.7	109.3	
Primary & Secondary Syphilis Incidence per 100,000	0.0	X 8.7	** 4.3	
Chlamydia Incidence per 100,000	978.8	4 32.8	391.6	
Hepatitis B Incidence per 100,000	1.2	1.6	** 1.3	
% [Unmarried 18-64] 3+ Sexual Partners in Past Year	8.2		7.1	
		پن better	公 similar	worse

Substance Abuse	Peach County	Peach County vs. Benchmarks		
		vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
Cirrhosis/Liver Disease (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)	13.2	8.2	9.2	8.2
% Current Drinker	38.8	** 47.7) 58.8	
% Chronic Drinker (Average 2+ Drinks/Day)	3.0	2 3.8	<u>ب</u> 5.6	
% Binge Drinker (Single Occasion - 5+ Drinks Men, 4+ Women)	16.8	行 12.4	<u>ح</u> ے 16.7	2 4.3
% Drinking & Driving in Past Month	0.0		※ 3.5	
% Driving Drunk or Riding with Drunk Driver	3.5		<u>5.5</u>	
% Illicit Drug Use in Past Month	4.6		会 1.7	<u>会</u> 7.1
% Ever Sought Help for Alcohol or Drug Problem	5.6		<u>ح</u> ک 3.9	
		پن better	ے similar	worse

Tobacco Use		Peach County vs. Benchmarks		
	Peach County	vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% Current Smoker	24.4	17.6	16.6	12.0
% Someone Smokes at Home	21.4		13.6	
% [Non-Smokers] Someone Smokes in the Home	8.6		公 5.7	
% [Household With Children] Someone Smokes in the Home	26.1		12.1	
% Smoke Cigars	8.0		<u>د</u> ک 4.2	0.2
% Use Smokeless Tobacco	3.4		<u>ح</u> ے 2.8	0.3
		پ better	£⊂ similar	worse

Vision	Peach County	Peach County vs. Benchmarks		
		vs. GA	vs. US	vs. HP2020
% Blindness/Trouble Seeing	14.9		6.9	
% Eye Exam in Past 2 Years	59.0		<u>6</u> 57.5	
		💢 better	<u>ج</u> ے similar	worse

GENERAL HEALTH STATUS



Overall Health Status

Self-Reported Health Status

A total of 46.3% of Peach County adults rate their overall health as "excellent" or "very good."

Another 30.1% gave "good" ratings of their overall health.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 5] Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

However, 23.6% of Peach County adults believe that their overall health is "fair" or "poor."

- Much higher than statewide findings.
- Much higher than the national percentage.



Experience "Fair" or "Poor" Overall Health

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 5]
 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.

The initial inquiry of the PRC **Community Health Survey** asked respondents the following:

"Would you say that in general your health is: excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?"

NOTE:

Differences noted in the text represent significant differences determined through statistical testing.

Adults more likely to report experiencing "fair" or "poor" overall health include:

- the searce of th
- Residents living in the lower-income breakout. **†††**†
- m Other differences within demographic groups, as illustrated in the following chart, are not statistically significant.

Experience "Fair" or "Poor" Overall Health



(Peach County, 2012)

100%

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 5]
 Asked of all respondents.
 Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Charts throughout this report (such as that here) detail survey findings among key demographic groups namely by gender, age groupings, income (based on poverty status), and race/ethnicity.

Activity Limitations

An individual can get a disabling impairment or chronic condition at any point in life. Compared with people without disabilities, people with disabilities are more likely to:

- Experience difficulties or delays in getting the health care they need.
- Not have had an annual dental visit.
- Not have had a mammogram in past 2 years.
- Not have had a Pap test within the past 3 years.
- Not engage in fitness activities.
- Use tobacco.
- Be overweight or obese.
- Have high blood pressure.
- Experience symptoms of psychological distress.
- Receive less social-emotional support.
- Have lower employment rates.

There are many social and physical factors that influence the health of people with disabilities. The following three areas for public health action have been identified, using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF) and the three World Health Organization (WHO) principles of action for addressing health determinants.

- Improve the conditions of daily life by: encouraging communities to be accessible so all can live in, move through, and interact with their environment; encouraging community living; and removing barriers in the environment using both physical universal design concepts and operational policy shifts.
- Address the inequitable distribution of resources among people with disabilities and those without disabilities by increasing: appropriate health care for people with disabilities; education and work opportunities; social participation; and access to needed technologies and assistive supports.
- Expand the knowledge base and raise awareness about determinants of health for people with disabilities by increasing: the inclusion of people with disabilities in public health data collection efforts across the lifespan; the inclusion of people with disabilities in health promotion activities; and the expansion of disability and health training opportunities for public health and health care professionals.
- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

A total of 24.3% of Peach County adults are limited in some way in some activities due to a physical, mental or emotional problem.

- Similar to the prevalence statewide.
- Less favorable than the national prevalence.





RELATED ISSUE: See also Potentially Disabling Conditions in the **Death**, **Disease & Chronic Conditions** section of this report. 100%

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 116] • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveiliance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 Asked of all respondents. Notes:

In looking at responses by key demographic characteristics, note the following:

- m Adults age 50 and older are much more often limited in activities.
- m Lower-income residents are more likely than higher-income residents to report some type of activity limitation.

Limited in Activities in Some Way Due to a Physical, Mental or Emotional Problem



Sources: 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 116]
Asked of all respondents.
Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Among persons reporting activity limitations, these are most often attributed to musculoskeletal issues, such as back/neck problems or arthritis/rheumatism. Eye or vision problems were noted among 7.3% of respondents with some type of activity limitations, as shown.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 117] Notes: • Asked of those respondents reporting activity limitations.

Mental Health & Mental Disorders

Mental health is a state of successful performance of mental function, resulting in productive activities, fulfilling relationships with other people, and the ability to adapt to change and to cope with challenges. Mental health is essential to personal well-being, family and interpersonal relationships, and the ability to contribute to community or society. Mental disorders are health conditions that are characterized by alterations in thinking, mood, and/or behavior that are associated with distress and/or impaired functioning. Mental disorders contribute to a host of problems that may include disability, pain, or death. Mental illness is the term that refers collectively to all diagnosable mental disorders.

Mental disorders are among the most common causes of disability. The resulting disease burden of mental illness is among the highest of all diseases. According to the national Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), in any given year, an estimated 13 million American adults (approximately 1 in 17) have a seriously debilitating mental illness. Mental health disorders are the leading cause of disability in the United States and Canada, accounting for 25% of all years of life lost to disability and premature mortality. Moreover, suicide is the 11th leading cause of death in the United States, accounting for the deaths of approximately 30,000 Americans each year.

Mental health and physical health are closely connected. Mental health plays a major role in people's ability to maintain good physical health. Mental illnesses, such as depression and anxiety, affect people's ability to participate in health-promoting behaviors. In turn, problems with physical health, such as chronic diseases, can have a serious impact on mental health and decrease a person's ability to participate in treatment and recovery.

The existing model for understanding mental health and mental disorders emphasizes the interaction of social, environmental, and genetic factors throughout the lifespan. In behavioral health, researchers identify: **risk factors**, which predispose individuals to mental illness; and **protective factors**, which protect them from developing mental disorders. Researchers now know that the prevention of mental, emotional, and behavioral (MEB) disorders is inherently interdisciplinary and draws on a variety of different strategies. Over the past 20 years, research on the prevention of mental disorders has progressed. The understanding of how the brain functions under normal conditions and in response to stressors, combined with knowledge of how the brain develops over time, has been essential to that progress. The major areas of progress include evidence that:

- MEB disorders are common and begin early in life.
- The greatest opportunity for prevention is among young people.
- There are multiyear effects of multiple preventive interventions on reducing substance abuse, conduct disorder, antisocial behavior, aggression, and child maltreatment.
- The incidence of depression among pregnant women and adolescents can be reduced.
- School-based violence prevention can reduce the base rate of aggressive problems in an average school by 25 to 33%.
- There are potential indicated preventive interventions for schizophrenia.
- Improving family functioning and positive parenting can have positive outcomes on mental health and can reduce poverty-related risk.
- School-based preventive interventions aimed at improving social and emotional outcomes can also improve academic outcomes.
- Interventions targeting families dealing with adversities, such as parental depression or divorce, can be effective in reducing risk for depression among children and increasing effective parenting.
- Some preventive interventions have benefits that exceed costs, with the available evidence strongest for early childhood interventions.
- Implementation is complex, and it is important that interventions be relevant to the target audiences.

In addition to advancements in the prevention of mental disorders, there continues to be steady progress in treating mental disorders as new drugs and stronger evidence-based outcomes become available.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Mental Health Status

Self-Reported Mental Health Status

A total of 65.3% of Peach County adults rate their overall mental health as "excellent" or "very good."

• Another 23.9% gave "good" ratings of their own mental health status.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 112] Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

A total of 10.8% of Peach County adults, however, believe that their overall mental health is "fair" or "poor."

Experience "Fair" or "Poor" Mental Health



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 112] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

"Now thinking about your mental health, which includes stress, depression and problems with emotions, would you say that, in general, your mental health is: excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?"





Sources: 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 112]
Asked of all respondents.
Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Depression

Major Depression

A total of 8.8% of Peach County adults have been diagnosed with major depression by a physician or other healthcare professional.

Statistically similar to the national finding.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 33] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.

the Unfavorably high among adults aged 50 and older.



Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories are non-Hispanic trespondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Symptoms of Chronic Depression

A total of 27.0% of Peach County adults have had two or more years in their lives when they felt depressed or sad on most days, although they may have felt okay sometimes (chronic depression).



• Similar to national findings.

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 113] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. Notes: • Asked of all respondents.
Symptoms of chronic depression are notably high among the lower-income population.



Have Experienced Symptoms of Chronic Depression

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 113] Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

Asked of all respondents.
 Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).

Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Stress

RELATED ISSUE: See also Substance Abuse in the **Modifiable Health Risks** section of this report.



 Another 46.4% of survey respondents characterize their typical day as "moderately stressful."



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [[tem 114] Notes: • Asked of all respondents. In contrast, 8.7% of Peach County adults experience "very" or "extremely" stressful days on a regular basis.

• Comparable to national findings.



Suicide

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted suicide rate of 15.1 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Higher than the statewide rate.
- Higher than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target of 10.2 or lower.



Mental Health Treatment

Among Peach County adults, 18.6% acknowledge that they have sought professional help for a mental or emotional problem.





Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 115] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: • Asked of all respondents

Related Focus Group Findings: Mental Health

Many focus group participants discussed mental health in the community. The main issues discussed include:

- Access
- Psychiatrist shortage
- Law enforcement
- Disability services

Throughout the focus groups issues arose surrounding **access** to behavioral healthcare. The participants feel there are not enough mental health resources available for community members, specifically seniors, children and low income residents. River Edge and Phoenix Center are community service board agencies, but not all clinics have the same resources available to them. River Edge is the main inpatient facility, but it only has 28 adult beds and 16 youth beds. River Edge provides prevention, treatment, support, and crisis stabilization. It currently operates well over capacity.

River Edge has several satellite locations throughout the region, but the crisis stabilization is located in Macon, so distance can be a factor to accessing emergency care. A participant describes:

"Most of our referrals would be to River Edge. We just hired two licensed clinical social workers 'cause in most of these rural areas you have no mental health. By having more small rural mental health clinics, even if they were just open one day a week, that would probably help. 'Cause most of these people, if they have schizophrenia and other things, if they have to travel 30 minutes or even 40 minutes to get to River Edge, they're probably not going to." — Regional Participant

The behavioral health inpatient unit at the Coliseum Medical Center is only for adults. The Phoenix Center in Warner Robins is the closest facility for Peach County residents, but for inpatient care they would need to be transferred to Columbus. A participant explains:

"Well, they start at Phoenix Center and they go on a four-day commitment usually in Columbus. There was a lady traveling from here all the way to Columbus by a Peach County deputy." — Peach County Participant

In addition to limited inpatient treatment facilities, there are only a few psychiatrists to provide ongoing outpatient care; in many rural counties there are no private providers. Participants express an urgent need for comprehensive behavioral healthcare.

Participants also have concern about the **over-utilization of law enforcement** that receives calls to handle many situations with the mentally ill. Jail is not the appropriate place for mentally ill persons in crisis, but seems to be the current trend. Police must transport the individual from the jail or hospital to an inpatient facility, which may be as far away as Augusta. This takes away from the available law enforcement personnel. A participant recalls:

"It's a tremendous tax burden because we still have to get the person to a mental facility to be checked. We still have to make sure we get all their medications, and we have to deal with them with their mental aspects, and law enforcement is not truly prepared to deal on that level every hour, all day long. They're just supposed to keep them alive and keep them healthy to go to court. That is their job, not to try to rehabilitate." — Regional Participant

DEATH, DISEASE & CHRONIC CONDITIONS



Leading Causes of Death



Age-Adjusted Death Rates for Selected Causes

In order to compare mortality in the region with other localities (in this case, Georgia and the United States), it is necessary to look at *rates* of death — these are figures which represent the number of deaths in relation to the population size (such as deaths per 100,000 population, as is used here).

Furthermore, in order to compare localities without undue bias toward younger or older populations, the common convention is to adjust the data to some common baseline age distribution. Use of these "age-adjusted" rates provides the most valuable means of gauging mortality against benchmark data, as well as *Healthy People 2020* targets.

The following chart outlines 1999-2008 annual average age-adjusted death rates per 100,000 population for selected causes of death in Peach County.

For infant mortality data, see "Birth Outcomes & Risks" in the **Births** section of this report. Age-adjusted mortality rates in Peach County are less favorable than national rates for each of the causes of death listed below.

Of the causes outlined in the following chart for which Healthy People 2020 objectives have been established, Peach County mortality rates fail to satisfy each of the related goals.

Peach County HP2020 Georgia **Diseases of the Heart** 271.5 239.5 223.4 152.7* Malignant Neoplasms (Cancers) 191.7 229.0 187.9 160.6 Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke) 62.5 52.8 33.8 60.0 **Unintentional Injuries** 55.0 43.0 37.6 36.0 Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease (CLRD) 46.8 42.9 49.1 n/a **Diabetes Mellitus** 43.0 21.6 24.2 19.6* **Kidney Disease** 34.0 20.7 14.2 n/a Alzheimer's Disease 24.4 24.6 21.1 n/a Pneumonia/Influenza 24.1 23.5 20.3 n/a **Motor Vehicle Crashes** 22.4 18.2 14.9 12.4 Intentional Self-Harm (Suicide) 15.1 10.8 10.9 10.2 HIV/AIDS 13.6 7.1 4.5 3.3 Firearm-Related 13.3 12.9 10.2 9.2 **Cirrhosis/Liver Disease** 13.2 8.2 9.2 8.2

Age-Adjusted Death Rates for Selected Causes (1999-2008 Deaths per 100,000)

Sources: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics Data extracted November 2011.

Note

US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov. Rates are per 100.000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 US Standard Population and coded using ICD-10 codes. * The Healthy People 2020 Heart Disease target is adjusted to account for all diseases of the heart; the Diabetes target is adjusted to reflect only diabetes mellitus-coded deaths.

Cardiovascular Disease

Heart disease is the leading cause of death in the United States, with stroke following as the third leading cause. Together, heart disease and stroke are among the most widespread and costly health problems facing the nation today, accounting for more than \$500 billion in healthcare expenditures and related expenses in 2010 alone. Fortunately, they are also among the most preventable.

The leading modifiable (controllable) risk factors for heart disease and stroke are:

- High blood pressure
- High cholesterol
- Cigarette smoking
- Diabetes
- Poor diet and physical inactivity
- Overweight and obesity

The risk of Americans developing and dying from cardiovascular disease would be substantially reduced if major improvements were made across the US population in diet and physical activity, control of high blood pressure and cholesterol, smoking cessation, and appropriate aspirin use.

The burden of cardiovascular disease is disproportionately distributed across the population. There are significant disparities in the following based on gender, age, race/ethnicity, geographic area, and socioeconomic status:

- Prevalence of risk factors
- Access to treatment
- Appropriate and timely treatment
- Treatment outcomes
- Mortality

Disease does not occur in isolation, and cardiovascular disease is no exception. Cardiovascular health is significantly influenced by the physical, social, and political environment, including: maternal and child health; access to educational opportunities; availability of healthy foods, physical education, and extracurricular activities in schools; opportunities for physical activity, including access to safe and walkable communities; access to healthy foods; quality of working conditions and worksite health; availability of community support and resources; and access to affordable, quality healthcare.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Age-Adjusted Heart Disease & Stroke Deaths

Heart Disease Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted heart disease mortality rate of 271.5 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Worse than the statewide rate.
- Worse than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (as adjusted to account for all diseases of the heart).

The greatest share of cardiovascular deaths is attributed to heart disease.



CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidermiology Program Onice, Division of Public Tream Data extracted November 2011.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HDS-2]
 Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are pro100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
 The Healthy People 2020 Heart Disease target is adjusted to account for all diseases of the heart.

Stroke Deaths

Notes:

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted stroke mortality rate of 62.5 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Comparable to the Georgia rate.
- Less favorable than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target of 33.8 or lower.



Stroke: Age-Adjusted Mortality

(1999-2008 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted November 2011.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HDS-3]
 Notes: Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

Prevalence of Heart Disease & Stroke

Prevalence of Heart Disease

A total of 6.4% of surveyed adults report that they suffer from or have been diagnosed with heart disease, such as coronary heart disease, angina or heart attack.



Similar to the national prevalence.

Prevalence of Stroke

A total of 5.7% of surveyed adults report that they suffer from or have been diagnosed with cerebrovascular disease (a stroke).

- Statistically similar to statewide findings.
- Statistically similar to national findings.



Cardiovascular Risk Factors

Hypertension (High Blood Pressure)

Controlling risk factors for heart disease and stroke remains a challenge. High blood pressure and cholesterol are still major contributors to the national epidemic of cardiovascular disease. High blood pressure affects approximately 1 in 3 adults in the United States, and more than half of Americans with high blood pressure do not have it under control. High sodium intake is a known risk factor for high blood pressure and heart disease, yet about 90% of American adults exceed their recommendation for sodium intake.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

High Blood Pressure Testing

A total of 94.5% of Peach County adults have had their blood pressure tested within the past two years.

- Nearly identical to national findings.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target (94.9% or higher).

Have Had Blood Pressure Checked in the Past Two Years





Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 49] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

CALL FILE VIAUURIAL REALTH SURVEY, IZTERSIONAL RESEARCH CONSULTANTS, INC.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HDS-4]
 Asked of all respondents.

Notes:

Prevalence of Hypertension

A total of 39.9% of adults have been told at some point that their blood pressure was high.

- Less favorable than the Georgia prevalence.
- Similar to the national prevalence.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (26.9% or lower).
- m Among hypertensive adults, 84.0% have been diagnosed with high blood pressure more than once.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 142] • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HDS-5.1]

Notes:

US Department or relative and interest instances and interest instances and interest instances and interest instances.
Asked of all respondents.
Bace categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level.

Hypertension Management

Among respondents who have been told that their blood pressure was high, 93.3% report that they are currently taking actions to control their condition.

Similar to national findings.

100% 93.3% 89.1% 80% 60% 40% 20% 0% Peach County Adults w/High Blood Pressure US Adults w/High Blood Pressure

Taking Action to Control Hypertension

(Among Adults With High Blood Pressure)

 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 48]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 Asked of all respondents who have been diagnosed with high blood pressure.

 In this case, the term "action" refers to medication, change in diet, and/or exercise.

High Blood Cholesterol

Blood Cholesterol Testing

A total of 91.0% of Peach County adults have had their blood cholesterol checked within the past five years.

- More favorable than Georgia findings.
- Similar to the national findings.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target (82.1% or higher).



Have Had Blood **Cholesterol Levels Checked in the Past Five Years**

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 52]
 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2009 Georgia data.
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HDS-6]

 Asked of all respondents Notes:

Respondents reporting high blood pressure were further asked:

"Are you currently taking any action to help control your high blood pressure, such as taking medication, changing your diet, or exercising?" m Adults under age 50 report lower screening levels.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 52] • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HDS-6] Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents)

Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Self-Reported High Blood Cholesterol

A total of 32.6% of adults have been told by a health professional that their cholesterol level was high.

- Comparable to the Georgia proportion.
- Comparable to the national prevalence.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (13.5% or lower).



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey. Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 143]
 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2009 Georgia data.
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HDS-7]

Notes: Asked of all respondents.
 *The Georgia data reflects those adults who have been tested for high cholesterol and who have been diagnosed with it.

52

Note that 15.0% of Peach County adults report not having high blood cholesterol, but: 1) have never had their blood cholesterol levels tested; 2) have not been screened in the past 5 years; or 3) do not recall when their last screening was. For these individuals, current prevalence is unknown.

Infavorably high among adults aged 50+; keep in mind that "unknowns" are relatively high in young adults.



Prevalence of High Blood Cholesterol

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 143] • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HDS-7]

Asked of all respondents.

Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories are non-Hispanic tategorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

High Cholesterol Management

Notes:

Among adults who have been told that their blood cholesterol was high, 86.8% report that they are currently taking actions to control their cholesterol levels.

Statistically similar to that found nationwide.

Taking Action to Control High Blood Cholesterol Levels

(Among Adults with High Cholesterol)



 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 51]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 Asked of all respondents who have been diagnosed with high blood cholesterol levels.

 In this case, the term "action" refers to medication, change in diet, and/or exercise.

Respondents reporting high cholesterol were further asked:

"Are you currently taking any action to help control your high cholesterol, such as taking medication, changing your diet, or exercising?"

Total Cardiovascular Risk

Individual level risk factors which put people at increased risk for cardiovascular diseases include:

- High Blood Pressure
- High Blood Cholesterol
- Tobacco Use
- **Physical Inactivity**
- **Poor Nutrition**
- Overweight/Obesity
- Diabetes
- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Three health-related behaviors contribute markedly to cardiovascular disease:

Poor nutrition. People who are overweight have a higher risk for cardiovascular disease. Almost 60% of adults are overweight or obese. To maintain a proper body weight, experts recommend a well-balanced diet which is low in fat and high in fiber, accompanied by regular exercise.

Lack of physical activity. People who are not physically active have twice the risk for heart disease of those who are active. More than half of adults do not achieve recommended levels of physical activity.

Tobacco use. Smokers have twice the risk for heart attack of nonsmokers. Nearly one-fifth of all deaths from cardiovascular disease, or about 190,000 deaths a year nationally, are smoking-related. Every day, more than 3,000 young people become daily smokers in the US

Modifying these behaviors is critical both for preventing and for controlling cardiovascular disease. Other steps that adults who have cardiovascular disease should take to reduce their risk of death and disability include adhering to treatment for high blood pressure and cholesterol, using aspirin as appropriate, and learning the symptoms of heart attack and stroke.

- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

A total of 86.7% of Peach County adults report one or more cardiovascular risk factors, such as being overweight, smoking cigarettes, being physically inactive, or having high blood pressure or cholesterol.

Comparable to national findings.



Present One or More Cardiovascular Risks or Behaviors

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 144] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Asked of all respondents.
Cardiovascular risk is defined as exhibiting one or more of the following: 1) no leisure-time physical activity; 2) regular/occasional cigarette smoking; 3) hypertension;
4) high blood cholesterol; and/or 5) being overweight/obese.

RELATED ISSUE: See also Nutrition & Overweight, Physical Activity & Fitness and Tobacco Use in the **Modifiable Health Risk** section of this report.

Adults more likely to exhibit cardiovascular risk factors include:

- া Men.
- m Adults age 50 and older.



Present One or More Cardiovascular Risks or Behaviors

(Peach County, 2012)

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 144]
 Notes: • Asked of all respondents.
 • Cardiovascular risk is defined as exhibiting one or more of the following: 1) no leisure-time physical activity; 2) regular/occasional cigarette smoking; 3) hypertension;
 4) high blood cholesterol; and/or 5) being overweight/obese.
 • Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (eg., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 • Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (PEU) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Cancer

Continued advances in cancer research, detection, and treatment have resulted in a decline in both incidence and death rates for all cancers. Among people who develop cancer, more than half will be alive in five years. Yet, cancer remains a leading cause of death in the United States, second only to heart disease.

Many cancers are preventable by reducing risk factors such as: use of tobacco products; physical inactivity and poor nutrition; obesity; and ultraviolet light exposure. Other cancers can be prevented by getting vaccinated against human papillomavirus and hepatitis B virus. In the past decade, overweight and obesity have emerged as new risk factors for developing certain cancers, including colorectal, breast, uterine corpus (endometrial), and kidney cancers. The impact of the current weight trends on cancer incidence will not be fully known for several decades. Continued focus on preventing weight gain will lead to lower rates of cancer and many chronic diseases.

Screening is effective in identifying some types of cancers (see US Preventive Services Task Force [USPSTF] recommendations), including:

- Breast cancer (using mammography)
- Cervical cancer (using Pap tests)
- Colorectal cancer (using fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy)
- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Age-Adjusted Cancer Deaths

All Cancer Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted cancer mortality rate of 229.0 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Less favorable than the statewide rate.
- Less favorable than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target of 160.6 or lower.



Cancer: Age-Adjusted Mortality

(1999-2008 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics Data extracted November 2011

Data extracted November 2011. US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective C-1] Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population. Notes:

Prevalence of Cancer

Skin Cancer

A total of 6.5% of surveyed Peach County adults report having been diagnosed with skin cancer.

• Similar to the national average.

Other Cancer

A total of 4.6% of respondents have been diagnosed with some type of (non-skin) cancer.



• Similar to the national prevalence.

Cancer Risk

Reducing the nation's cancer burden requires reducing the prevalence of behavioral and environmental factors that increase cancer risk.

- All cancers caused by cigarette smoking could be prevented. At least one-third of cancer deaths that occur in the United States are due to cigarette smoking.
- According to the American Cancer Society, about one-third of cancer deaths that occur in the United States each year are due to nutrition and physical activity factors, including obesity.

- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

RELATED ISSUE: See also Nutrition & Overweight, Physical Activity & Fitness and Tobacco Use in the **Modifiable** Health Risk section of this report.

Cancer Screenings

The American Cancer Society recommends that both men and women get a cancerrelated checkup during a regular doctor's checkup. It should include examination for cancers of the thyroid, testicles, ovaries, lymph nodes, oral cavity, and skin, as well as health counseling about tobacco, sun exposure, diet and nutrition, risk factors, sexual practices, and environmental and occupational exposures.

Screening levels in the community were measured in the PRC Community Health Survey relative to four cancer sites: prostate cancer (prostate-specific antigen testing and digital rectal examination); female breast cancer (mammography); cervical cancer (Pap smear testing); and colorectal cancer (sigmoidoscopy and fecal occult blood testing).

Prostate Cancer Screenings

The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) concludes that the current evidence is <u>insufficient</u> to assess the balance of benefits and harms of prostate cancer screening in men younger than age 75 years.

Rationale: Prostate cancer is the most common nonskin cancer and the second-leading cause of cancer death in men in the United States. The USPSTF found convincing evidence that prostate-specific antigen (PSA) screening can detect some cases of prostate cancer.

In men younger than age 75 years, the USPSTF found inadequate evidence to determine whether treatment for prostate cancer detected by screening improves health outcomes compared with treatment after clinical detection.

The USPSTF found convincing evidence that treatment for prostate cancer detected by screening causes moderate-to-substantial harms, such as erectile dysfunction, urinary incontinence, bowel dysfunction, and death. These harms are especially important because some men with prostate cancer who are treated would never have developed symptoms related to cancer during their lifetime.

There is also adequate evidence that the screening process produces at least small harms, including pain and discomfort associated with prostate biopsy and psychological effects of false-positive test results.

The USPSTF recommends against screening for prostate cancer in men age 75 years or older.

Rationale: In men age 75 years or older, the USPSTF found adequate evidence that the incremental benefits of treatment for prostate cancer detected by screening are small to none.

Given the uncertainties and controversy surrounding prostate cancer screening in men younger than age 75 years, a clinician should not order the PSA test without first discussing with the patient the potential but uncertain benefits and the known harms of prostate cancer screening and treatment. Men should be informed of the gaps in the evidence and should be assisted in considering their personal preferences before deciding whether to be tested.

– US Preventive Services Task Force, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, US Department of Health & Human Services.

Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

PSA Testing and/or Digital Rectal Examination

Among men age 50 and older, a total of 8 in 10 (79.4%) have had a PSA (prostatespecific antigen) test and/or a digital rectal examination for prostate problems within the past two years.

Statistically similar to national findings.

Note: Due to recent (2008) changes in clinical recommendations against routine PSA testing, it is anticipated that testing levels will begin to decline.

Have Had a Prostate Screening in the Past Two Years

(Among Men 50+)



 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 148]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 Asked of all male respondents 50 and older.

100%

Female Breast Cancer Screening

The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends screening mammography, with or without clinical breast examination (CBE), every 1-2 years for women age 40 and older.

Rationale: The USPSTF found fair evidence that mammography screening every 12-33 months significantly reduces mortality from breast cancer. Evidence is strongest for women age 50-69, the age group generally included in screening trials. For women age 40-49, the evidence that screening mammography reduces mortality from breast cancer is weaker, and the absolute benefit of mammography is smaller, than it is for older women. Most, but not all, studies indicate a mortality benefit for women undergoing mammography at ages 40-49, but the delay in observed benefit in women younger than 50 makes it difficult to determine the incremental benefit of beginning screening at age 40 rather than at age 50.

The absolute benefit is smaller because the incidence of breast cancer is lower among women in their 40s than it is among older women. The USPSTF concluded that the evidence is also generalizable to women age 70 and older (who face a higher absolute risk for breast cancer) if their life expectancy is not compromised by comorbid disease. The absolute probability of benefits of regular mammography increase along a continuum with age, whereas the likelihood of harms from screening (false-positive results and unnecessary anxiety, biopsies, and cost) diminish from ages 40-70. The balance of benefits and potential harms, therefore, grows more favorable as women age. The precise age at which the potential benefits of mammography justify the possible harms is a subjective choice. The USPSTF did not find sufficient evidence to specify the optimal screening interval for women age 40-49.

US Preventive Services Task Force, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, US Department of Health & Human Services.

Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

Mammography

Among women age 50-74, 86.0% have had a mammogram within the past two years.

- Similar to statewide findings (which represent all women 50+).
- Similar to national findings.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target (81.1% or higher).
- m Among Total Area women 40+, 79.7% had a mammogram in the past two years.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 145-146]

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective C-17]

- Notes: Reflects female respondents 50 to 74.
 - *Note that state data reflects all women 50 and older (vs. women 50-74 in local, US and Healthy People data)

Cervical Cancer Screenings

The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) strongly recommends screening for cervical cancer in women who have been sexually active and have a cervix.

Rationale: The USPSTF found good evidence from multiple observational studies that screening with cervical cytology (Pap smears) reduces incidence of and mortality from cervical cancer. Direct evidence to determine the optimal starting and stopping age and interval for screening is limited. Indirect evidence suggests most of the benefit can be obtained by beginning screening within 3 years of onset of sexual activity or age 21 (whichever comes first) and screening at least every 3 years. The USPSTF concludes that the benefits of screening substantially outweigh potential harms.

The USPSTF recommends against routinely screening women older than age 65 for cervical cancer if they have had adequate recent screening with normal Pap smears and are not otherwise at high risk for cervical cancer.

Rationale: The USPSTF found limited evidence to determine the benefits of continued screening in women older than 65. The yield of screening is low in previously screened women older than 65 due to the declining incidence of high-grade cervical lesions after middle age. There is fair evidence that screening women older than 65 is associated with an increased risk for potential harms, including false-positive results and invasive procedures. The USPSTF concludes that the potential harms of screening are likely to exceed benefits among older women who have had normal results previously and who are not otherwise at high risk for cervical cancer.

The USPSTF recommends against routine Pap smear screening in women who have had a total hysterectomy for benign disease.

Rationale: The USPSTF found fair evidence that the yield of cytologic screening is very low in women after hysterectomy and poor evidence that screening to detect vaginal cancer improves health outcomes. The USPSTF concludes that potential harms of continued screening after hysterectomy are likely to exceed benefits

- US Preventive Services Task Force, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, US Department of Health & Human Services.
 - Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

Pap Smear Testing

Among women age 21 to 65, 80.6% have had a Pap smear within the past three years.

- Comparable to Georgia findings (which represents all women 18+).
- Comparable to national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (93% or higher).



(Among Women 21-65)

Have Had a Pap Smear in the Past Three Years

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 147] • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control

- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Cen and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective C-15]
 Reflects Fenale respondents age 21-65.
 *Note that the Georgia percentage represents all women 18 and older.
- Notes:

Colorectal Cancer Screenings

The USPSTF recommends screening for colorectal cancer using fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy in adults, beginning at age 50 years and continuing until age 75 years.

The evidence is convincing that screening for colorectal cancer with fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy detects early-stage cancer and adenomatous polyps. There is convincing evidence that screening with any of the three recommended tests (FOBT, sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy) reduces colorectal cancer mortality in adults age 50 to 75 years. Follow-up of positive screening test results requires colonoscopy regardless of the screening test used.

US Preventive Services Task Force, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, US Department of Health & Human Services.

Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

Colorectal Cancer Screening

Among adults age 50-75, 80.2% have had an appropriate colorectal cancer screening (fecal occult blood testing within the past year and/or sigmoidoscopy/ colonoscopy [lower endoscopy] within the past 10 years).

Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target (70.5% or higher).



Sigmoidoscopy/Colonoscopy

Among adults age 50 and older, 78.3% have had a lower endoscopy (sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy) at some point in their lives.

- More favorable than Georgia findings.
- Comparable to national findings.



Have Ever Had a Lower Endoscopy Exam

(Among Adults 50+)

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 149]
 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 Notes: Asked of all respondents 50+.
 Lower endoscopy includes either sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy.

Blood Stool Testing

Among adults age 50 and older, 45.2% have had a blood stool test (aka "fecal occult blood test") within the past two years.

- Better than Georgia findings.
- Better than national findings.

Have Had a Blood Stool Test in the Past Two Years



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey. Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 150]
 • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 • 2011 PRC National Health Survey. Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 • Asked of all respondents 50+.

Respiratory Disease

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are significant public health burdens. Specific methods of detection, intervention, and treatment exist that may reduce this burden and promote health.

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways characterized by episodes of reversible breathing problems due to airway narrowing and obstruction. These episodes can range in severity from mild to life threatening. Symptoms of asthma include wheezing, coughing, chest tightness, and shortness of breath. Daily preventive treatment can prevent symptoms and attacks and enable individuals who have asthma to lead active lives.

COPD is a preventable and treatable disease characterized by airflow limitation that is not fully reversible. The airflow limitation is usually progressive and associated with an abnormal inflammatory response of the lung to noxious particles or gases (typically from exposure to cigarette smoke). Treatment can lessen symptoms and improve quality of life for those with COPD.

Several additional respiratory conditions and respiratory hazards, including infectious agents and occupational and environmental exposures, are covered in other areas of Healthy People 2020. Examples include tuberculosis, lung cancer, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), pneumonia, occupational lung disease, and smoking. Sleep Health is now a separate topic area of Healthy People 2020.

Currently in the United States, more than 23 million people have asthma. Approximately 13.6 million adults have been diagnosed with COPD, and an approximately equal number have not yet been diagnosed. The burden of respiratory diseases affects individuals and their families, schools, workplaces, neighborhoods, cities, and states. Because of the cost to the healthcare system, the burden of respiratory diseases also falls on society; it is paid for with higher health insurance rates, lost productivity, and tax dollars. Annual healthcare expenditures for asthma alone are estimated at \$20.7 billion.

Asthma. The prevalence of asthma has increased since 1980. However, deaths from asthma have decreased since the mid-1990s. The causes of asthma are an active area of research and involve both genetic and environmental factors.

Risk factors for asthma currently being investigated include:

- Having a parent with asthma
- Sensitization to irritants and allergens
- Respiratory infections in childhood
- Overweight

Asthma affects people of every race, sex, and age. However, significant disparities in asthma morbidity and mortality exist, in particular for low-income and minority populations. Populations with higher rates of asthma include: children; women (among adults) and boys (among children); African Americans; Puerto Ricans; people living in the Northeast United States; people living below the Federal poverty level; and employees with certain exposures in the workplace.

While there is not a cure for asthma yet, there are diagnoses and treatment guidelines that are aimed at ensuring that all people with asthma live full and active lives.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

[NOTE: COPD was changed to chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD) with the introduction of ICD-10 codes. CLRD is used in vital statistics reporting, but COPD is still widely used and commonly found in surveillance reports.]

Note: COPD was changed to chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD) in 1999 with the introduction of ICD-10 codes. CLRD is used in vital statistics reporting, but COPD is still widely used and commonly found in surveillance reports.

Age-Adjusted Respiratory Disease Deaths

Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Deaths (CLRD)

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted CLRD mortality rate of 49.1 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Similar to the Georgia rate.
- Higher than the national rate.

CLRD: Age-Adjusted Mortality

(1999-2008 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)



Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted November 2011.
 Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
 CLRD is chronic lower respiratory disease.

Pneumonia/Influenza Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted pneumonia/ influenza mortality rate of 24.1 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Comparable to that found statewide.
- Higher than the national rate.



Pneumonia/Influenza: Age-Adjusted Mortality

Sources: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted November 2011. Notes:

Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

For prevalence of vaccinations for pneumonia and influenza, see also "Immunization & Infectious Disease."

Prevalence of Respiratory Conditions

Survey respondents were next asked to indicate whether they suffer from or have been diagnosed with various respiratory conditions, including asthma, nasal/hay fever allergies, sinusitis, and/ or chronic lung disease.

Nasal/Hay Fever Allergies

Approximately 3 in 10 Peach County adults (29.8%) currently suffer from or have been diagnosed with nasal/hay fever allergies.

• Similar to the national prevalence.

Sinusitis

A total of 19.3% of Peach County adults suffer from sinusitis.

Nearly identical to the national prevalence.

Chronic Lung Disease

A total of 12.8% of Peach County adults suffer from chronic lung disease.

• Similar to the national prevalence.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 25, 34-35] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. • Asked of all respondents.

Asthma

Adults

A total of 10.1% of Peach County adults currently suffer from asthma.

- Similar to the statewide prevalence.
- Similar to the national prevalence.



Children

Among Peach County children under age 18, 6.4% currently have asthma.

• Statistically similar to national findings.



Injury & Violence

Injuries and violence are widespread in society. Both unintentional injuries and those caused by acts of violence are among the top 15 killers for Americans of all ages. Many people accept them as "accidents," "acts of fate," or as "part of life." However, most events resulting in injury, disability, or death are predictable and preventable.

Injuries are the leading cause of death for Americans ages 1 to 44, and a leading cause of disability for all ages, regardless of sex, race/ethnicity, or socioeconomic status. More than 180,000 people die from injuries each year, and approximately 1 in 10 sustains a nonfatal injury serious enough to be treated in a hospital emergency department.

Beyond their immediate health consequences, injuries and violence have a significant impact on the wellbeing of Americans by contributing to:

- Premature death
- Disability
- Poor mental health
- High medical costs
- Lost productivity

The effects of injuries and violence extend beyond the injured person or victim of violence to family members, friends, coworkers, employers, and communities.

Numerous factors can affect the risk of unintentional injury and violence, including individual behaviors, physical environment, access to health services (ranging from pre-hospital and acute care to rehabilitation), and social environment (from parental monitoring and supervision of youth to peer group associations, neighborhoods, and communities).

Interventions addressing these social and physical factors have the potential to prevent unintentional injuries and violence. Efforts to prevent unintentional injury may focus on:

- Modifications of the environment
- Improvements in product safety
- Legislation and enforcement
- Education and behavior change
- Technology and engineering

Efforts to prevent violence may focus on:

- Changing social norms about the acceptability of violence
- Improving problem-solving skills (for example, parenting, conflict resolution, coping)
- Changing policies to address the social and economic conditions that often give rise to violence
- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Leading Causes of Accidental Death

Motor vehicle accidents accounted for 43.8% of accidental deaths in Peach County between 1999 and 2008, followed by falls (19.0% of accidental deaths) and poisoning or noxious substances (14.9%).



Data extracted November 2011. Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). Notes:

Unintentional Injury

Age-Adjusted Unintentional Injury Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted unintentional injury mortality rate of 55.0 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Worse than the Georgia rate.
- Worse than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (36.0 or lower).

Unintentional Injuries: Age-Adjusted Mortality



100 Healthy People 2020 Target = 36.0 or Lower 80 60 55.0 43.0 37.6 40 20 0 Peach County Georgia **United States**

CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted November 2011.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective IVP-11]

 Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population. Notes:

Motor Vehicle Safety

Age-Adjusted Motor-Vehicle Related Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted motor vehicle crash mortality rate of 22.4 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Higher than found statewide.
- Higher than that reported nationally.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (12.4 or lower).

Motor Vehicle Crashes: Age-Adjusted Mortality

(1999-2008 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)



Sources: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics.

Doe workder knownie Query system. Centers to Disease control and revention, Epidemiology Program Onice, Division of Public Health sci Data extracted November 2011.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective IVP-13.1]
 Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

Seat Belt Usage - Adults

Notes:

Most Peach County adults (85.6%) report "always" wearing a seat belt when driving or riding in a vehicle.

- Nearly identical to the percentage found nationally.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target of 92.4% or higher.

"Always" Wear a Seat Belt When Driving or Riding in a Vehicle

Healthy People 2020 Target = 92.4% or Higher



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 53] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective IPV-15] Asked of all respondents. Notes:





 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 53]

 US Department of Health and Human Services.
 Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective IPV-15]

 Notes:
 Asked of all respondents.
 Race categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).

Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Seat Belt Usage - Children

A full 91.2% of Peach County parents report that their child (age 0 to 17) "always" wears a seat belt (or appropriate car seat for younger children) when riding in a vehicle.

Nearly identical to what is reported nationally.



Child "Always" Wears a Seat Belt or **Appropriate Restraint When Riding in a Vehicle**

 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 132]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 A sked of all respondents with Indiren 0 to 171 in the household.
Firearm Safety

Age-Adjusted Firearm-Related Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted rate of 13.3 deaths per 100,000 population due to firearms in Peach County.

- Comparable to that found statewide.
- Higher than that found nationally.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 objective (9.2 or lower).

Firearms-Related Deaths: Age-Adjusted Mortality

(1999-2008 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)



Sources: • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. CDC WONDER Online Query System. Data extracted November 2011.

 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective IVP-30]
 Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 US Standard Population. Notes

Presence of Firearms in Homes

Overall, more than one-half (53.4%) of Peach County adults has a firearm kept in or around their home.

- Much higher than the national prevalence.
- m Among Peach County households with children, 52.7% have a firearm kept in or around the house (much higher than the national prevalence among households with children).



Have a Firearm Kept in or Around the Home

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 57, 154] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes

Asked of all respondents.
 In this case, firearms include pistols, shotguns, rifles, and other types of guns; this does not include starter pistols, BB guns, or guns that cannot fire.

Survey respondents were further asked about the presence of weapons in the home:

"Are there any firearms now kept in or around your home, including those kept in a garage, outdoor storage area, truck, or car? For the purposes of this inquiry, 'firearms' include pistols, shotguns, rifles, and other types of guns, but do NOT include starter pistols, BB guns, or guns that cannot fire."

Reports of firearms in or around the home are more prevalent among the following respondent groups:

- া Men.
- Higher-income households. 榊栫
- White respondents.



Have a Firearm Kept in or Around the House

Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White' reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Among Peach County households with firearms, 30.1% report that there is at least one weapon that is kept unlocked and loaded.

Much higher than that found nationally.



Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 57]

 Notes:
 - Asked of all respondents.

 In this case, firearms include pistols, shotguns, rifles, and other types of guns; this does not include starter pistols, BB guns, or guns that cannot fire.

Intentional Injury (Violence)

Violent Crime

Violent Crime Rates

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average violent crime rate of 628.0 offenses per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Less favorable than the Georgia rate for the same period.
- Less favorable than the national rate.

Violent Crime Rates



- Sources:
 • Georgia Bureau of Investigation.

 • Crime in the US, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

 • Rates are offenses per 100,000 population among agencies reporting.
 - The Peach County crime rate has fluctuated over the past decade, although ~^ notable higher in the late 2000s than earlier in the decade. US rates decreased overall.



Sources: • Georgia Department of Public Safety. • US Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation Notes: • Rates are offenses per 100,000 population among agencies reporting.

Violent crime is composed of four offenses (FBI Index offenses): murder and nonnegligent manslaughter; forcible rape; robbery; and aggravated assault.

Note that the quality of crime data can vary widely from location to location, depending on the consistency and completeness of reporting among various jurisdictions.

Self-Reported Violence

A total of 6.0% of Peach County adults acknowledge being the victim of a violent crime in the past five years.

Much higher than national findings.



Family Violence

Keep in mind that these family violence data reflect only those incidents reported to law enforcement (offenses).

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average family violence rate of 930.9 offenses per 100,000 population in Peach County.

• Much higher than the Georgia rate for the same period.



Family Violence Rates

Self-Reported Family Violence

Respondents were told:

"By an intimate partner, I mean any current or former spouse, boyfriend, or girlfriend. Someone you were dating, or romantically or sexually intimate with would also be considered an intimate partner."

A total of 18.6% of Peach County adults report that they have ever been threatened with physical violence by an intimate partner.

Higher than that reported nationally.

A total of 19.4% of respondents acknowledge that they have ever been hit, slapped, pushed, kicked, or otherwise hurt by an intimate partner.

Higher than national findings.

Have Ever Been Hit, Slapped, Pushed, Kicked, or Hurt in Any Way by an Intimate Partner



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 55-56] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Unfavorably high in lower-income households. ***



Have Ever Been Hit, Slapped, Pushed,

 Sources:
 • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 56]

 Notes:
 • Asked of all respondents.

 • Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).

Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Related Focus Group Findings: Violence

Many focus group participants are concerned with violence in the community. The main issues included:

- Domestic violence and sexual assault
- Child and elder abuse

Participants agree that violence is pervasive in both urban and rural communities. According to participants, a major contributor to violence is the frustration fueled by lower income, stress and substance abuse.

Participants feel that victims of **domestic violence and sexual assault** have limited options because they may not have access to a shelter due to limited personal or public transportation. There are also limited choices for shelters because the beds fill up quickly and then the victim must remain in the volatile situation. A participant describes:

"Well, even when you have victims of domestic violence, one of their first questions is certainly, 'Well, what are my choices? Where can I go?' and especially in the rural communities, there aren't any resources or places for them to go, and trying to access the resources in Macon is sometimes a challenge. Those beds fill up quickly. So you often times have people that will remain in the domestic violence situation just because they feel trapped, as part of the dynamics of the issue, itself, but of the reality of the limited resources." — Regional Participant

Another participant explains further:

"In Houston County, HODAC does provide victim advocates that work with victims of domestic violence and sexual assault; we have sexual assault nurse examiners. But even when we have a grant to reach out into the rural area of Peach County, that grant was not renewed. So we're limited as to how many victim advocates we have to send to the hospital on callouts." — Regional Participant

Focus group participants have additional concern about **elder and child abuse**. These situations also have limited resources and many people lack knowledge about these crimes.

Diabetes

Diabetes mellitus occurs when the body cannot produce or respond appropriately to insulin. Insulin is a hormone that the body needs to absorb and use glucose (sugar) as fuel for the body's cells. Without a properly functioning insulin signaling system, blood glucose levels become elevated and other metabolic abnormalities occur, leading to the development of serious, disabling complications. Many forms of diabetes exist; the three common types are Type 1, Type 2, and gestational diabetes.

Effective therapy can prevent or delay diabetic complications. However, almost 25% of Americans with diabetes mellitus are undiagnosed, and another 57 million Americans have blood glucose levels that greatly increase their risk of developing diabetes mellitus in the next several years. Few people receive effective preventative care, which makes diabetes mellitus an immense and complex public health challenge.

Diabetes mellitus affects an estimated 23.6 million people in the United States and is the 7th leading cause of death. Diabetes mellitus:

- Lowers life expectancy by up to 15 years.
- Increases the risk of heart disease by 2 to 4 times.
- Is the leading cause of kidney failure, lower limb amputations, and adult-onset blindness.

In addition to these human costs, the estimated total financial cost of diabetes mellitus in the US in 2007 was \$174 billion, which includes the costs of medical care, disability, and premature death.

The rate of diabetes mellitus continues to increase both in the United States and throughout the world. Due to the steady rise in the number of persons with diabetes mellitus, and possibly earlier onset of type 2 diabetes mellitus, there is growing concern about the possibility that the increase in the number of persons with diabetes mellitus and the complexity of their care might overwhelm existing healthcare systems.

People from minority populations are more frequently affected by type 2 diabetes. Minority groups constitute 25% of all adult patients with diabetes in the US and represent the majority of children and adolescents with type 2 diabetes.

Lifestyle change has been proven effective in preventing or delaying the onset of type 2 diabetes in high-risk individuals.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Age-Adjusted Diabetes Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted diabetes mortality rate of 43.0 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Much less favorable than that found statewide.
- Much less favorable than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (19.6 or lower).



Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted November 2011.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective D-3]
 Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
 The Healthy People 2020 target for Diabetes is adjusted to account for only diabetes mellitus coded deaths.

Prevalence of Diabetes

A total of 17.3% of Peach County adults report having been diagnosed with diabetes.

- Worse than the proportion statewide.
- Worse than the national proportion.



Prevalence of Diabetes

Notes:

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 44] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.

Asked of all respondents.
Local and national data exclude gestation diabetes (occurring only during pregnancy).

Diabetes is unfavorably high among:

- m Residents aged 50 and older.
- 榊栫 Lower-income adults.
- Blacks.



 Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 44]
 Asked of all respondents.
 Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level. Excludes gestation diabetes (occurring only during pregnancy).

Diabetes Treatment

Among adults with diabetes, most (81.6%) are currently taking insulin or some type of medication to manage their condition.



Alzheimer's Disease

Dementia is the loss of cognitive functioning—thinking, remembering, and reasoning—to such an extent that it interferes with a person's daily life. Dementia is not a disease itself, but rather a set of symptoms. Memory loss is a common symptom of dementia, although memory loss by itself does not mean a person has dementia. Alzheimer's disease is the most common cause of dementia, accounting for the majority of all diagnosed cases.

Alzheimer's disease is the 6th leading cause of death among adults age 18 years and older. Estimates vary, but experts suggest that up to 5.1 million Americans age 65 years and older have Alzheimer's disease. These numbers are predicted to more than double by 2050 unless more effective ways to treat and prevent Alzheimer's disease are found.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Age-Adjusted Alzheimer's Disease Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted Alzheimer's disease mortality rate of 24.4 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Nearly identical to the statewide rate.
- Less favorable than the national rate.



Alzheimer's Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality

(1999-2008 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted November 2011. • Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). • Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

Kidney Disease

Chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease are significant public health problems in the United States and a major source of suffering and poor quality of life for those afflicted. They are responsible for premature death and exact a high economic price from both the private and public sectors. Nearly 25% of the Medicare budget is used to treat people with chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease.

Genetic determinants have a large influence on the development and progression of chronic kidney disease. It is not possible to alter a person's biology and genetic determinants; however, environmental influences and individual behaviors also have a significant influence on the development and progression of chronic kidney disease. As a result, some populations are disproportionately affected. Successful behavior modification is expected to have a positive influence on the disease.

Diabetes is the most common cause of kidney failure. The results of the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) funded by the national Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) show that moderate exercise, a healthier diet, and weight reduction can prevent development of type 2 diabetes in persons at risk.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Age-Adjusted Kidney Disease Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted kidney disease mortality rate of 34.0 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Worse than the rate found statewide.
- Much worse than the national rate.



Kidney Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality

 Sources:

 CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics Data extracted November 2011.
 Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).

Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

Potentially Disabling Conditions

There are more than 100 types of arthritis. Arthritis commonly occurs with other chronic conditions, such as diabetes, heart disease, and obesity. Interventions to treat the pain and reduce the functional limitations from arthritis are important, and may also enable people with these other chronic conditions to be more physically active. Arthritis affects 1 in 5 adults and continues to be the most common cause of disability. It costs more than \$128 billion per year. All of the human and economic costs are projected to increase over time as the population ages. There are interventions that can reduce arthritis pain and functional limitations, but they remain underused. These include: increased physical activity; self-management education; and weight loss among overweight/obese adults.

Osteoporosis is a disease marked by reduced bone strength leading to an increased risk of fractures (broken bones). In the United States, an estimated 5.3 million people age 50 years and older have osteoporosis. Most of these people are women, but about 0.8 million are men. Just over 34 million more people, including 12 million men, have low bone mass, which puts them at increased risk for developing osteoporosis. Half of all women and as many as 1 in 4 men age 50 years and older will have an osteoporosis-related fracture in their lifetime.

Chronic back pain is common, costly, and potentially disabling. About 80% of Americans experience low back pain in their lifetime. It is estimated that each year:

- 15%-20% of the population develop protracted back pain.
- 2-8% have chronic back pain (pain that lasts more than 3 months).
- **3**-4% of the population is temporarily disabled due to back pain.
- 1% of the working-age population is disabled completely and permanently as a result of low back pain.

Americans spend at least \$50 billion each year on low back pain. Low back pain is the:

- 2nd leading cause of lost work time (after the common cold).
- ^{3rd} most common reason to undergo a surgical procedure.
- 5th most frequent cause of hospitalization.

Arthritis, osteoporosis, and chronic back conditions all have major effects on quality of life, the ability to work, and basic activities of daily living.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Arthritis, Osteoporosis, & Chronic Pain

Prevalence of Arthritis/Rheumatism

Nearly one-half (47.7%) of Peach County adults age 50 and older reports suffering from arthritis or rheumatism.

Much higher than that found nationwide.



Prevalence of Osteoporosis

A total of 8.2% of survey respondents age 50 and older have osteoporosis.

- Similar to that found nationwide.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target of 5.3% or lower.



RELATED ISSUE: See also Activity Limitations in the General Health Status section of this report.

Prevalence of Sciatica/Chronic Back Pain

One-fourth (25.3%) of survey respondents suffers from chronic back pain or sciatica.

Comparable to that found nationwide.

Prevalence of Migraines/Severe Headaches

A total of 20.2% of survey respondents report suffering from migraines or severe headaches.

• Comparable to that found nationwide.

Prevalence of Chronic Neck Pain

A total of 12.5% of survey respondents currently suffer from chronic neck pain.

- **Prevalence of Chronic Pain** (Peach County, 2012) 100% Peach County United States 80% 60% 40% 25.3% 21.5% 20.2% 16.9% 20% 12.5% 8.3% 0% Chronic Neck Pain Sciatica/Chronic Back Pain **Migraines/Severe Headaches**
- Comparable to that found nationwide.

 Sources:
 • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 29, 36-37]

 • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 • Asked of all respondents.

Vision & Hearing Impairment

Vision is an essential part of everyday life, influencing how Americans of all ages learn, communicate, work, play, and interact with the world. Yet millions of Americans live with visual impairment, and many more remain at risk for eye disease and preventable eye injury.

The eyes are an important, but often overlooked, part of overall health. Despite the preventable nature of some vision impairments, many people do not receive recommended screenings and exams. A visit to an eye care professional for a comprehensive dilated eye exam can help to detect common vision problems and eye diseases, including diabetic retinopathy, glaucoma, cataract, and age-related macular degeneration.

These common vision problems often have no early warning signs. If a problem is detected, an eye care professional can prescribe corrective eyewear, medicine, or surgery to minimize vision loss and help a person see his or her best.

Healthy vision can help to ensure a healthy and active lifestyle well into a person's later years. Educating and engaging families, communities, and the nation is critical to ensuring that people have the information, resources, and tools needed for good eye health.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Vision Trouble

A total of 14.9% of Peach County adults are blind, or have trouble seeing even when wearing corrective lenses.

- More than twice the prevalence found nationwide.
- m Among residents aged 50+, the prevalence is 20.5%.



Prevalence of Blindness/Trouble Seeing

 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 26]
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 A sked of all respondents.

RELATED ISSUE: See also Vision Care in the Access to Health Services section of this report.

Hearing Trouble

An impaired ability to communicate with others or maintain good balance can lead many people to feel socially isolated, have unmet health needs, have limited success in school or on the job. Communication and other sensory processes contribute to our overall health and well-being. Protecting these processes is critical, particularly for people whose age, race, ethnicity, gender, occupation, genetic background, or health status places them at increased risk.

Many factors influence the numbers of Americans who are diagnosed and treated for hearing and other sensory or communication disorders, such a social determinants (social and economic standings, age of diagnosis, cost and stigma of wearing a hearing aid, and unhealthy lifestyle choices). In addition, biological causes of hearing loss and other sensory or communication disorders include: genetics; viral or bacterial infections; sensitivity to certain drugs or medications; injury; and aging.

As the nation's population ages and survival rates for medically fragile infants and for people with severe injuries and acquired diseases improve, the prevalence of sensory and communication disorders is expected to rise.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

In all, 11.6% of Peach County adults report being deaf or having difficulty hearing.

- Statistically similar to that found nationwide.
- Among adults aged 50 and older, 19.7% report being deaf or having difficulty hearing.



Prevalence of Deafness/Trouble Hearing

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 27] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. Note: • Asked of all respondents.

INFECTIOUS DISEASE



Vaccine-Preventable Conditions

The increase in life expectancy during the 20th century is largely due to improvements in child survival; this increase is associated with reductions in infectious disease mortality, due largely to immunization. However, infectious diseases remain a major cause of illness, disability, and death. Immunization recommendations in the United States currently target 17 vaccine-preventable diseases across the lifespan.

People in the US continue to get diseases that are vaccine-preventable. Viral hepatitis, influenza, and tuberculosis (TB) remain among the leading causes of illness and death across the nation and account for substantial spending on the related consequences of infection.

The infectious disease public health infrastructure, which carries out disease surveillance at the national, state, and local levels, is an essential tool in the fight against newly emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases. Other important defenses against infectious diseases include:

- Proper use of vaccines
- Antibiotics
- Screening and testing guidelines
- Scientific improvements in the diagnosis of infectious disease-related health concerns

Vaccines are among the most cost-effective clinical preventive services and are a core component of any preventive services package. Childhood immunization programs provide a very high return on investment. For example, for each birth cohort vaccinated with the routine immunization schedule, society:

- Saves 33,000 lives.
- Prevents 14 million cases of disease.
- Reduces direct healthcare costs by \$9.9 billion.
- Saves \$33.4 billion in indirect costs.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Measles, Mumps, Rubella & Pertussis

There were no cases of measles, mumps or rubella in Peach County between 2008 and 2010.

In 2010, Peach County reported two cases of pertussis.

Influenza & Pneumonia Vaccination

Acute respiratory infections, including pneumonia and influenza, are the 8th leading cause of death in the nation, accounting for 56,000 deaths annually. Pneumonia mortality in children fell by 97% in the last century, but respiratory infectious diseases continue to be leading causes of pediatric hospitalization and outpatient visits in the US. On average, influenza leads to more than 200,000 hospitalizations and 36,000 deaths each year. The 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic caused an estimated 270,000 hospitalizations and 12,270 deaths (1,270 of which were of people younger than age 18) between April 2009 and March 2010.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Flu Vaccinations

Among Total Area seniors, 60.0% received a flu shot (or FluMist®) within the past year.

- Statistically comparable to the Georgia finding.
- Statistically comparable to the national finding.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (90% or higher).
- Note that the sample of adults 65+ is very small.



Have Had a Flu Vaccination in the Past Year

(Among Adults 65+)

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 160]
 • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective IID-12.7]

Reflects respondents 65 and older.
 Includes Elineary

Includes FullWist as a form of vaccination.
 *The percentage is considered to be unreliable due to the small sample size of respondents age 65+

High-Risk Adults

Notes:

A total of 59.7% of high-risk adults age 18 to 64 received a flu vaccination (flu shot or FluMist) within the past year.

- Similar to national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (90% or higher).

FluMist® is a vaccine that is sprayed into the nose to help protect against influenza; it is an alternative to traditional flu shots.

"High-risk" includes adults who report having been diagnosed with heart disease, diabetes or respiratory disease.



Pneumonia Vaccination

Among adults age 65 and older, 64.4% have received a pneumonia vaccination at some point in their lives.

- Similar to the Georgia finding.
- Similar to the national finding.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target of 90% or higher.
- Note that the sample of adults 65+ is very small.



Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccine

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 162]
 • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective IID-13.1]
 Notes: • Feflexts respondents 65 and Iolder.
 • 'The percentage is considered to be unreliable due to the small sample size of respondents aged 65+.

High-Risk Adults

"High-risk" includes adults who report having been diagnosed with heart disease, diabetes or respiratory disease.

A total of 58.9% of high-risk adults age 18 to 64 have ever received a pneumonia vaccination.

- More favorable than national findings.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target (60% or higher).

(Among High-Risk Adults 18-64) 100% Healthy People 2020 Target = 60% or Higher 80% **58.9%** 60% 40% 32.0% 20% 0% Peach County **United States**

Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccine

- Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 163]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective IID-13.2]

 Notes:
 Asked of all high-risk respondents under 65.

 "High-Risk" includes adults age 18 to 64 who have been diagnosed with heart disease, diabetes or respiratory disease.

HIV

The HIV epidemic in the United States continues to be a major public health crisis. An estimated 1.1 million Americans are living with HIV, and 1 in 5 people with HIV do not know they have it. HIV continues to spread, leading to about 56,000 new HIV infections each year.

HIV is a preventable disease, and effective HIV prevention interventions have been proven to reduce HIV transmission. People who get tested for HIV and learn that they are infected can make significant behavior changes to improve their health and reduce the risk of transmitting HIV to their sex or drug-using partners. More than 50% of new HIV infections occur as a result of the 21% of people who have HIV but do not know it.

In the era of increasingly effective treatments for HIV, people with HIV are living longer, healthier, and more productive lives. Deaths from HIV infection have greatly declined in the United States since the 1990s. As the number of people living with HIV grows, it will be more important than ever to increase national HIV prevention and healthcare programs.

There are gender, race, and ethnicity disparities in new HIV infections:

- Nearly 75% of new HIV infections occur in men.
- More than half occur in gay and bisexual men, regardless of race or ethnicity.
- 45% of new HIV infections occur in African Americans, 35% in whites, and 17% in Hispanics.

Improving access to quality healthcare for populations disproportionately affected by HIV, such as persons of color and gay and bisexual men, is a fundamental public health strategy for HIV prevention. People getting care for HIV can receive:

- Antiretroviral therapy
- Screening and treatment for other diseases (such as sexually transmitted infections)
- HIV prevention interventions
- Mental health services
- Other health services

As the number of people living with HIV increases and more people become aware of their HIV status, prevention strategies that are targeted specifically for HIV-infected people are becoming more important. Prevention work with people living with HIV focuses on:

- Linking to and staying in treatment.
- Increasing the availability of ongoing HIV prevention interventions.
- Providing prevention services for their partners.

Public perception in the US about the seriousness of the HIV epidemic has declined in recent years. There is evidence that risky behaviors may be increasing among uninfected people, especially gay and bisexual men. Ongoing media and social campaigns for the general public and HIV prevention interventions for uninfected persons who engage in risky behaviors are critical.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Age-Adjusted HIV/AIDS Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted HIV/AIDS mortality rate of 13.6 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Nearly twice the statewide rate.
- Three times the rate reported nationally.
- Far from satisfying the Healthy People 2020 target (3.3 or lower).

HIV/AIDS: Age-Adjusted Mortality

(1999-2008 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)



Sources: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted November 2011.

US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HIV-12]
 Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

HIV Testing

Notes:

Among Peach County adults age 18-44, 29.0% report that they have been tested for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in the past year.

- Statistically similar to the proportion found nationwide.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target of 16.9% or higher.



Tested for HIV in the Past Year

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 166] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective HIV-14.1] Reflects respondents age 18 to 44. Note that the Healthy People 2020 objective is for ages 15-44.

Notes:

Sexually Transmitted Diseases

STDs refer to more than 25 infectious organisms that are transmitted primarily through sexual activity. Despite their burdens, costs, and complications, and the fact that they are largely preventable, STDs remain a significant public health problem in the United States. This problem is largely unrecognized by the public, policymakers, and health care professionals. STDs cause many harmful, often irreversible, and costly clinical complications, such as: reproductive health problems; fetal and perinatal health problems; cancer; and facilitation of the sexual transmission of HIV infection.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that there are approximately 19 million new STD infections each year—almost half of them among young people ages 15 to 24. Because many cases of STDs go undiagnosed—and some common viral infections, such as human papillomavirus (HPV) and genital herpes, are not reported to CDC at all—the reported cases of chlamydia, gonorrhea, and syphilis represent only a fraction of the true burden of STDs in the US. Untreated STDs can lead to serious long-term health consequences, especially for adolescent girls and young women. CDC estimates that undiagnosed and untreated STDs cause at least 24,000 women in the United States each year to become infertile. Several factors contribute to the spread of STDs.

Biological Factors. STDs are acquired during unprotected sex with an infected partner. Biological factors that affect the spread of STDs include:

- Asymptomatic nature of STDs. The majority of STDs either do not produce any symptoms or signs, or they produce symptoms so mild that they are unnoticed; consequently, many infected persons do not know that they need medical care.
- Gender disparities. Women suffer more frequent and more serious STD complications than men do. Among the most serious STD complications are pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy (pregnancy outside of the uterus), infertility, and chronic pelvic pain.
- Age disparities. Compared to older adults, sexually active adolescents ages 15 to 19 and young adults ages 20 to 24 are at higher risk for getting STDs.
- **Lag time between infection and complications**. Often, a long interval, sometimes years, occurs between acquiring an STD and recognizing a clinically significant health problem.

Social, Economic and Behavioral Factors. The spread of STDs is directly affected by social, economic, and behavioral factors. Such factors may cause serious obstacles to STD prevention due to their influence on social and sexual networks, access to and provision of care, willingness to seek care, and social norms regarding sex and sexuality. Among certain vulnerable populations, historical experience with segregation and discrimination exacerbates the influence of these factors. Social, economic, and behavioral factors that affect the spread of STDs include:

- **Racial and ethnic disparities**. Certain racial and ethnic groups (mainly African American, Hispanic, and American Indian/Alaska Native populations) have high rates of STDs, compared with rates for whites.
- **Poverty and marginalization**. STDs disproportionately affect disenfranchised people and people in social networks where high-risk sexual behavior is common, and either access to care or health-seeking behavior is compromised.
- Access to health care. Access to high-quality health care is essential for early detection, treatment, and behaviorchange counseling for STDs. Groups with the highest rates of STDs are often the same groups for whom access to or use of health services is most limited.
- Substance abuse. Many studies document the association of substance abuse with STDs. The introduction of new illicit substances into communities often can alter sexual behavior drastically in high-risk sexual networks, leading to the epidemic spread of STDs.
- Sexuality and secrecy. Perhaps the most important social factors contributing to the spread of STDs in the United States are the stigma associated with STDs and the general discomfort of discussing intimate aspects of life, especially those related to sex. These social factors separate the United States from industrialized countries with low rates of STDs.
- **Sexual networks**. Sexual networks refer to groups of people who can be considered "linked" by sequential or concurrent sexual partners. A person may have only 1 sex partner, but if that partner is a member of a risky sexual network, then the person is at higher risk for STDs than a similar individual from a nonrisky network.
- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Gonorrhea

"Incidence rate" or "case rate" is the number of new cases of a disease occurring during a given period of time.

It is usually expressed as cases per 100,000 population per year.

Between 2007 and 2009, the annual average gonorrhea incidence rate was 277.6 cases per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Notably higher than the Georgia incidence rate.
- Notably higher than the national incidence rate.



Gonorrhea Incidence

(2007-2009 Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

 Sources:
 • Georgia Department of Public Health.

 • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

 Notes:
 • Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

Gonorrhea rates decreased over the past decade in Peach County (although ~ consistently above GA/US rates).



Sources: • Georgia Department of Public Health.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.
Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

Notes:

Syphilis

Between 2007 and 2009, there were no cases of primary/secondary syphilis in Peach County.



Notes:

Markov In fact, no cases of syphilis have been reported in Peach County in the past decade.

Chlamydia

Between 2007 and 2009, the annual average chlamydia incidence rate was 978.8 cases per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Dramatically higher than the Georgia incidence rate.
- More than twice the national incidence rate.



Chlamydia Incidence

(2007-2009 Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

 Sources:
 • Georgia Department of Public Health.

 • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

 Notes:
 • Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

The Peach County chlamydia incidence has increased in recent years, and has historically been significantly higher than GA/US rates.



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.
Notes: Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

Acute Hepatitis B

Hepatitis B Incidence

Between 2008 and 2010, there was a hepatitis B incidence rate of 1.2 per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- More favorable than the statewide rate.
- More favorable than the national rate.



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistic
 Notes:
 Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
The US rate represents 2007-2009 data.

Hepatitis B incidence rates have fluctuated widely in Peach County over the past ~^ decade. Rates decreased over the past decade for Georgia and the US overall. Hepatitis B (Acute) Incidence



 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.
 Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population. Notes:

Hepatitis B Vaccination

Based on survey data, 28.8% of Peach County residents report having received the hepatitis B vaccine.

• Well below that reported nationwide.



Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccination

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 77] • PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

m Adults less likely to have been vaccinated for hepatitis B include Whites and adults aged 50+.



Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccination

Sources: 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 77]
Asked of all respondents.
Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Safe Sexual Practices

Sexual Partners

Among unmarried Peach County adults under 65, the vast majority cites having one (31.6%) or no (53.7%) sexual partners in the past 12 months.

Number of Sexual Partners in Past 12 Months (Among Unmarried Adults 18-64; Peach County, 2012) Three/More 8.2% Two 6.4% None 53.7% One 31.6%

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 97] Notes: • Asked of all unmarried respondents under the age of 65.

However, 8.2% report three or more sexual partners in the past year.

Statistically similar to that reported nationally.



Had Three or More Sexual Partners in the Past Year

Use of Condoms

Among unmarried Peach County adults under 65, 71.1% indicate that a condom was used during their last sexual intercourse.

More than three times the national prevalence.



Condom Was Used During Last Sexual Intercourse

 Sources:
 • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 98]

 • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 • Asked of all unmarried respondents under the age of 65.



Birth Outcomes & Risks

Low-Weight Births

A total of 9.7% of 2007-2009 Peach County births were low-weight.

- Similar to the Georgia proportion.
- Worse than the national proportion.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (7.8% or lower).



Low-Weight Births

Note

Note

Georgia Department of Public Heartin.
 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective MICH-8.1]
 Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
 Defined as an infant born weighing less than 5.5 pounds (2,500 grams) regardless of gestational age.

The proportion of low-weight births has trended upward in Peach County in ~^ recent years; the same can be said for both Georgia and the US.



Occupia Department of Polici Reality.
 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective MICH-8.1]
 Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
 Defined as an infant born weighing less than 5.5 pounds (2,500 grams) regardless of gestational age.

Low birthweight babies, those who weigh less than 2,500 grams (5 pounds, 8 ounces) at birth, are much more prone to illness and neonatal death than are babies of normal birthweight.

Largely a result of receiving poor or inadequate prenatal care, many low-weight births and the consequent health problems are preventable.

Sources: • Georgia Department of Public Health.

Infant Mortality

Infant mortality rates reflect deaths of children less than one year old per 1,000 live births.

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average of 12.3 infant deaths per 1,000 live births.

- Less favorable than the Georgia rate.
- Less favorable than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target of 6.0 per 1,000 live births.

Infant Mortality Rate (1999-2008 Annual Average Infant Deaths per 1,000 Live Births) 25 Healthy People 2020 Target = 6.0 or Lower 20 15 12.3 10 8.4 6.9 5 0 Peach County Georgia **United States**

Sources: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted November 2011. • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective MICH-1.3]
 Rates represent deaths of children under 1 year old per 1,000 live births. Notes:

Family Planning

Family planning is one of the 10 great public health achievements of the 20th century. The availability of family planning services allows individuals to achieve desired birth spacing and family size and contributes to improved health outcomes for infants, children, and women. Family planning services include contraceptive and broader reproductive health services (patient education and counseling), breast and pelvic examinations, breast and cervical cancer screening, sexually transmitted infection (STI) and HIV prevention education/counseling/testing/referral, and pregnancy diagnosis and counseling. For many women, a family planning clinic is their entry point into the healthcare system and is considered to be their usual source of care. This is especially true for women with incomes below the poverty level, women who are uninsured, Hispanic women, and Black women.

Unintended pregnancies (those reported by women as being mistimed or unwanted) are associated with many negative health and economic outcomes. In 2001, almost one-half of all pregnancies in the US were unintended. For women, negative outcomes associated with unintended pregnancy include:

- Delays in initiating prenatal care
- Reduced likelihood of breastfeeding
- Poor maternal mental health
- Lower mother-child relationship quality
- Increased risk of physical violence during pregnancy

Children born as a result of an unintended pregnancy are more likely to experience poor mental and physical health during childhood and poor educational and behavioral outcomes.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Births to Unwed Mothers

According to the CDC, an unintended pregnancy is a pregnancy that is either mistimed or unwanted at the time of conception. It is a core concept in understanding the fertility of populations and the unmet need for contraception. Unintended pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of morbidity for women, and with health behaviors during pregnancy that are associated with adverse effects. For example, women with an unintended pregnancy may delay prenatal care, which may affect the health of the infant. Women of all ages may have unintended pregnancies, but some groups, such as teens, are at a higher risk.

Because it is impossible to measure the true incidence of unintended pregnancy in the US, the following indicator looks at births occurring among unmarried mothers as a proxy measure for pregnancies that are not intended (knowing that this is not always the case).

One-half (49.9%) of 2007-2009 births in Peach County were to unwed mothers.

- Higher than the percentage reported statewide.
- Higher than that found nationally.



Births to Teen Mothers

The negative outcomes associated with unintended pregnancies are compounded for adolescents. Teen mothers:

- Are less likely to graduate from high school or attain a GED by the time they reach age 30.
- Earn an average of approximately \$3,500 less per year, when compared with those who delay childbearing.
- Receive nearly twice as much Federal aid for nearly twice as long.

Similarly, early fatherhood is associated with lower educational attainment and lower income. Children of teen parents are more likely to have lower cognitive attainment and exhibit more behavior problems. Sons of teen mothers are more likely to be incarcerated, and daughters are more likely to become adolescent mothers.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)
A total of 5.1% of 2007-2009 Peach County births were to teenage mothers (age 15-17).

- Just below the Georgia proportion.
- Less favorable than the national proportion.



 Sources:
 • Georgia Department of Public Health.

 • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.

 Note:
 • Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.

County over the past decade.

The percentage of births to teen mothers has shown no clear trend in Peach ~^



 Sources:
 • Georgia Department of Public Health.

 • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.

 Note:
 • Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.

MODIFIABLE HEALTH RISKS



Actual Causes Of Death

A 1999 study (an update to a landmark 1993 study), estimated that as many as 40% of premature deaths in the United States are attributed to behavioral factors. This study found that behavior patterns represent the single-most prominent domain of influence over health prospects in the United States. The daily choices we make with respect to diet, physical activity, and sex; the substance abuse and addictions to which we fall prey; our approach to safety; and our coping strategies in confronting stress are all important determinants of health.

The most prominent contributors to mortality in the United States in 2000 were tobacco (an estimated 435,000 deaths), diet and activity patterns (400,000), alcohol (85,000), microbial agents (75,000), toxic agents (55,000), motor vehicles (43,000), firearms (29,000), sexual behavior (20,000), and illicit use of drugs (17,000). Socioeconomic status and access to medical care are also important contributors, but difficult to quantify independent of the other factors cited. Because the studies reviewed used different approaches to derive estimates, the stated numbers should be viewed as first approximations.

These analyses show that smoking remains the leading cause of mortality. However, poor diet and physical inactivity may soon overtake tobacco as the leading cause of death. These findings, along with escalating healthcare costs and aging population, argue persuasively that the need to establish a more preventive orientation in the US healthcare and public health systems has become more urgent.

 Ali H. Mokdad, PhD; James S. Marks, MD, MPH; Donna F. Stroup, Phd, MSc; Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH. "Actual Causes of Death in the United States." JAMA, 291(2004):1238-1245.

Leading Causes of Death	Underlying Risk Factors (Actual Causes of Death)	
Cardiovascular disease	Tobacco use Elevated serum cholesterol High blood pressure	Obesity Diabetes Sedentary lifestyle
Cancer	Tobacco use Improper diet	Alcohol Occupational/environmental exposures
Cerebrovascular disease	High blood pressure Tobacco use	Elevated serum cholesterol
Accidental injuries	Safety belt noncompliance Alcohol/substance abuse Reckless driving	Occupational hazards Stress/fatigue
Chronic lung disease	Tobacco use	Occupational/environmental exposures

Source: National Center for Health Statistics/US Department of Health and Human Services, Health United States: 1987. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 88–1232.



purces: "The Case For More Active Policy Attention to Health Promotion"; (McGinnis, Williams-Russo, Knickman) Health Affairs, Vol. 21, No. 2, March/April 2002. "Actual Causes of Death in the United States"; (Ali H. Mokdad, PhD; James S. Marks, MD, MPH; Donna F. Stroup, Phd, MSc; Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH) JAMA, 291(2000):1238-1245.

While causes of death are typically described as the diseases or injuries immediately precipitating the end of life, a few important studies have shown that the actual causes of premature death (reflecting underlying risk factors) are often preventable.

Nutrition

Strong science exists supporting the health benefits of eating a healthful diet and maintaining a healthy body weight. Efforts to change diet and weight should address individual behaviors, as well as the policies and environments that support these behaviors in settings such as schools, worksites, healthcare organizations, and communities.

The goal of promoting healthful diets and healthy weight encompasses increasing household food security and eliminating hunger.

Americans with a healthful diet:

- Consume a variety of nutrient-dense foods within and across the food groups, especially whole grains, fruits, vegetables, low-fat or fat-free milk or milk products, and lean meats and other protein sources.
- Limit the intake of saturated and trans fats, cholesterol, added sugars, sodium (salt), and alcohol.
- Limit caloric intake to meet caloric needs.

Diet and body weight are related to health status. Good nutrition is important to the growth and development of children. A healthful diet also helps Americans reduce their risks for many health conditions, including: overweight and obesity; malnutrition; iron-deficiency anemia; heart disease; high blood pressure; dyslipidemia (poor lipid profiles); type 2 diabetes; osteoporosis; oral disease; constipation; diverticular disease; and some cancers.

Diet reflects the variety of foods and beverages consumed over time and in settings such as worksites, schools, restaurants, and the home. Interventions to support a healthier diet can help ensure that:

- Individuals have the knowledge and skills to make healthier choices.
- Healthier options are available and affordable.

Social Determinants of Diet. Demographic characteristics of those with a more healthful diet vary with the nutrient or food studied. However, most Americans need to improve some aspect of their diet.

Social factors thought to influence diet include:

- Knowledge and attitudes
- Skills
- Social support
- Societal and cultural norms
- Food and agricultural policies
- Food assistance programs
- Economic price systems

Physical Determinants of Diet. Access to and availability of healthier foods can help people follow healthful diets. For example, better access to retail venues that sell healthier options may have a positive impact on a person's diet; these venues may be less available in low-income or rural neighborhoods.

The places where people eat appear to influence their diet. For example, foods eaten away from home often have more calories and are of lower nutritional quality than foods prepared at home.

Marketing also influences people's-particularly children's-food choices.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Daily Recommendation of Fruits/Vegetables

A total of 42.2% of Peach County adults report eating five or more servings of fruits and/or vegetables per day.

Similar to national findings.

Consume Five or More Servings of Fruits/Vegetables Per Day 100%



m No statistical differences to report when viewed by demographic characteristics.

(Peach County, 2012) 100% 80% 60% 46.2% 45.6% 45.3% 44.2% 44.1% 41.9% 42.2% 40.4% 38.3% 40% 20% 0% Mid/Hiah White Men Women 18 to 49 50+ low Black Peach County Income Income

Consume Five or More Servings of Fruits/Vegetables Per Day

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 168] Notes:

2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consuming, and generative and the spondents.
 Asked of all respondents.
 Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
 For this issue, respondents were asked to recall their food intake on the previous day.

To measure fruit and vegetable consumption, survey respondents were asked multiple questions, specifically about the foods and drinks they consumed on the day prior to the interview.

Health Advice About Diet & Nutrition

A total of 41.6% of survey respondents acknowledge that a physician counseled them about diet and nutrition in the past year.

- Almost identical to national findings.
- m Note: Among obese respondents, 64.8% report receiving diet/nutrition advice (meaning that over one-third did not).

Have Received Advice About Diet and Nutrition in the Past Year From a Physician, Nurse, or Other Health Professional



Related Focus Group Findings: Nutrition and Obesity

Many focus group participants discussed nutrition and obesity. The main findings include:

- Food deserts
- Fast food
- Knowledge
- Hunger

Focus group participants agree that poor nutrition leads to obese residents. Poor eating habits stem from a variety of sources in the community. Participants describe downtown Macon and the surrounding rural counties as "food deserts." Small convenience marts are plentiful and represent the only choice for residents.

Local food banks and social service agencies work diligently to provide access to fresh food in low-income neighborhoods. Farmer's markets occur regularly. A participant describes the current solutions:

"I know during the spring, Byron has a farmer's market every Saturday. It is locally-grown fresh produce...Fort Valley does too." — Peach County Participant

Members believe that **fast food restaurants** are abundant in the community and these restaurants offer unhealthy food. Fast foods or microwaveable meals are common choices as quick and easy options for busy parents or those who work multiple jobs. One member notes:

"You go to the Burger King and get something to eat, which will stop the hunger, versus going to the farmer's market and grabbing everything you need for a fresh made salad or to the grocery store. The availability of the fast food in this area is tremendous, versus getting something healthy."— Regional Participant

Another participant describes how the need to provide for their families can cause people to work all day and night:

"Some of them got two jobs. They get off one job, and they never leave – they have two jobs. A lady in the church, she works all day long until 9:00 at night just trying to pay the rent and keep the lights on."— Peach County Participant

Focus group participants also feel that residents lack nutrition and obesity prevention **knowledge**. Members think nutrition education should target parents and should occur in a variety of settings, including physician offices, the school, and non-traditional settings like grocery stores. Learning how to read labels, nutrition facts, and cooking classes would benefit the entire community because residents do not know how to cook healthy meals for their families. A participant explains:

"There are some efforts around community gardens and neighborhood gardens. Along with that, somebody has also got to be doing the cooking part of it. I mean I love collard greens, but I don't have a clue in the world how to fix one, you know, so you've got to have both of those pieces. I have the food, so now what do I do with it?" — Regional Participant

There is much concern about **hunger** in the region. There is a high level of unemployment, so some parents cannot afford to purchase food for their families. Many school-aged children receive free or reduced cost lunches; some of these children may eat only one meal a day during the school week.

"97 percent of the children getting a free lunch – okay, that's just lunch, what about Saturday, what about Sunday, you know, where is that food coming from? The food banks, I know get utilized quite a bit in this area." — Peach County Participant

Physical Activity

Regular physical activity can improve the health and quality of life of Americans of all ages, regardless of the presence of a chronic disease or disability. Among adults and older adults, physical activity can lower the risk of: early death; coronary heart disease; stroke; high blood pressure; type 2 diabetes; breast and colon cancer; falls; and depression. Among children and adolescents, physical activity can: improve bone health; improve cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness; decrease levels of body fat; and reduce symptoms of depression. For people who are inactive, even small increases in physical activity are associated with health benefits.

Personal, social, economic, and environmental factors all play a role in physical activity levels among youth, adults, and older adults. Understanding the barriers to and facilitators of physical activity is important to ensure the effectiveness of interventions and other actions to improve levels of physical activity.

Factors **positively** associated with adult physical activity include: postsecondary education; higher income; enjoyment of exercise; expectation of benefits; belief in ability to exercise (self-efficacy); history of activity in adulthood; social support from peers, family, or spouse; access to and satisfaction with facilities; enjoyable scenery; and safe neighborhoods.

Factors **negatively** associated with adult physical activity include: advancing age; low income; lack of time; low motivation; rural residency; perception of great effort needed for exercise; overweight or obesity; perception of poor health; and being disabled. Older adults may have additional factors that keep them from being physically active, including lack of social support, lack of transportation to facilities, fear of injury, and cost of programs.

Among children ages 4 to 12, the following factors have a positive association with physical activity:

- Gender (boys)
- Belief in ability to be active (self-efficacy)
- Parental support

Among adolescents ages 13 to 18, the following factors have a positive association with physical activity:

- Parental education
- Gender (boys)
- Personal goals
- Physical education/school sports
- Belief in ability to be active (self-efficacy)
- Support of friends and family

Environmental influences positively associated with physical activity among children and adolescents include:

- Presence of sidewalks
- Having a destination/walking to a particular place
- Access to public transportation
- Low traffic density
- Access to neighborhood or school play area and/or recreational equipment

People with disabilities may be less likely to participate in physical activity due to physical, emotional, and psychological barriers. Barriers may include the inaccessibility of facilities and the lack of staff trained in working with people with disabilities.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Level of Activity at Work

More than 3 in 4 employed respondents report low levels of physical activity at work.

- A total of 76.4% of employed respondents report that their job entails mostly sitting or standing, much higher than the US figure.
- 16.3% report that their job entails mostly walking (lower than that reported nationally).
- 7.3% report that their work is physically demanding (lower than reported nationally).



Primary Level of Physical Activity At Work

(Among Employed Respondents)

Leisure-Time Physical Activity

A total of 31.3% of adults report no leisure-time physical activity in the past month.

- Similar to statewide findings.
- Similar to national findings.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target (32.6% or lower).

No Leisure-Time Physical Activity in the Past Month



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey. Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 104] • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective PA-1]
Asked of all respondents.

Notes:

Lack of leisure-time physical activity in the area is higher among:

- Residents aged 50 and older.
- Lower-income residents. 榊栫
- Whites. ŧŴ\$ŧ

No Leisure-Time Physical Activity in the Past Month



US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective PA-1] • Asked of all respondents. Notes:

Asked of all respondents.
 Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Activity Levels

Adults (age 18-64) should do 2 hours and 30 minutes a week of moderate-intensity, or 1 hour and 15 minutes (75 minutes) a week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity. Aerobic activity should be performed in episodes of at least 10 minutes, preferably spread throughout the week.

Additional health benefits are provided by increasing to 5 hours (300 minutes) a week of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity, or 2 hours and 30 minutes a week of vigorous-intensity physical activity, or an equivalent combination of both.

Older adults (age 65 and older) should follow the adult guidelines. If this is not possible due to limiting chronic conditions, older adults should be as physically active as their abilities allow. They should avoid inactivity. Older adults should do exercises that maintain or improve balance if they are at risk of falling.

For all individuals, some activity is better than none. Physical activity is safe for almost everyone, and the health benefits of physical activity far outweigh the risks.

- 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. www.health.gov/PAGuidelines

Recommended Levels of Physical Activity

A total of 39.5% of Peach County adults participate in regular, sustained moderate or vigorous physical activity (meeting physical activity recommendations).

- Comparable to statewide findings.
- Comparable to national findings.



Asked or all respondents.
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
 In this case the term "meets physical activity recommendations" refers to participation in moderate physical activity (exercise that produces only light sweating or a slight to moderate increase in breathing or heart rate) at least 5 times a week for 30 minutes at a time, and/or vigorous physical activity (activities that cause heavy sweating or large increases in breathing or heart rate) at least 3 times a week for 20 minutes at a time.

Moderate & Vigorous Physical Activity

In the past month:

A total of 23.7% of adults participated in moderate physical activity (5 times a week, 30 minutes at a time).

• Almost identical to the national level.

A total of 35.0% participated in vigorous physical activity (3 times a week, 20 minutes at a time).

- More favorable than the statewide figure (not shown).
- Similar to the nationwide figure.

The individual indicators of moderate physical activity, vigorous physical activity, and strengthening activities are shown here.



Health Advice About Physical Activity & Exercise

A total of 46.9% of Peach County adults report that their physician has asked about or given advice to them about physical activity in the past year.

- Comparable to the national average.
- **M** Note: 69.8% of obese Peach County respondents say that they have talked with their doctor about physical activity/exercise in the past year.

Have Received Advice About Exercise in the Past Year From a Physician, Nurse, or Other Health Professional (By Weight Classification)



 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 19]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 • Asked of all respondents.

Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Related Focus Group Findings: Physical Activity

Many focus group participants discussed physical activity in the community. The main discussion centered on:

- Safety concerns
- Sedentary lifestyle
- Children

Focus group participants are divided on the number of opportunities for physical activity in the community. In Macon and Warner Robins there are many indoor gyms and walking trails, but community members do not utilize them regularly. In more rural parts of the region, there are limited trails or playgrounds. While opportunities for residents to be active outdoors exist, some participants express safety **concerns**. Lower income neighborhood residents may fear leaving their homes, so there where would they exercise? Some community members do not feel safe allowing their children to access the trails, or even to play in the street. Sidewalks and street lighting are sparse in many parts of the region. In rural communities even more so, as a participant explains:

"I don't think Twiggs County really has any kind of walking trail except for maybe at the school. I think most people are going to drive to the track. In a rural community, people live away from the center of town, so I mean you're talking miles... They're not going to walk on the highway." — Regional Participant

Other participants feel these rural communities offer great cost-free exercise opportunities:

"If you want to walk in Jeffersonville, all you have to do is walk out your front door and take off. If you live in the country, you have a choice of fields." — Regional Participant

In addition to the outdoor physical activity barriers, participants believe many of the residents live very **sedentary lifestyles**. They express much concern about community residents' inactivity. Adults do not set a good example for their children and focus group members doubt there is even a willingness to change. A respondent recalls:

"Choosing to be inactive, choosing to be lazy, and choosing not to go to the doctor when you need to, just personal choices that people are making with their own personal health. And a lot of that, I don't think you can alter." — Peach County Participant

Focus group members feel very strongly that inactivity has a very harmful affect on **children**. A child's day no longer includes regular physical activity. Focus group members think children watch more television and play more video games than ever before, coupled with limited physical education classes in school. There is concern that this generation of children will have more health problems than any generation before and their children will not exercise either. A Peach County participant explains his frustrations:

"NFL is spending millions of dollars with these teams getting on the school bus and encouraging kids to get out in the yard and play 60 minutes a day. My gosh. I used to get three whoopings a week for not getting home before the streetlights come on...How did that change? I never

remember seeing a 'get outside, kid' commercial on TV. I think it's going to get worse because the kids that we're having to spend millions of dollars every Sunday to tell them to get out and play, are going to start having kids soon." — Peach County Participant

Although there is much frustration about physical activity, participants believe that adding sidewalks, more outdoor lighting, and creating a better transportation network would help decrease inactivity.

"I think if there was a better transportation network within the city that would lead to more walking because then you could walk to the bus stop. I think cars are the obesity machines. So to make transportation easier, that would lead to more walking and less relying on your car." — Regional Participant

Weight Status

Because weight is influenced by energy (calories) consumed and expended, interventions to improve weight can support changes in diet or physical activity. They can help change individuals' knowledge and skills, reduce exposure to foods low in nutritional value and high in calories, or increase opportunities for physical activity. Interventions can help prevent unhealthy weight gain or facilitate weight loss among obese people. They can be delivered in multiple settings, including healthcare settings, worksites, or schools.

The social and physical factors affecting diet and physical activity (see Physical Activity topic area) may also have an impact on weight. Obesity is a problem throughout the population. However, among adults, the prevalence is highest for middle-aged people and for non-Hispanic black and Mexican American women. Among children and adolescents, the prevalence of obesity is highest among older and Mexican American children and non-Hispanic black girls. The association of income with obesity varies by age, gender, and race/ethnicity.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Body Mass Index (BMI), which describes relative weight for height, is significantly correlated with total body fat content. The BMI should be used to assess overweight and obesity and to monitor changes in body weight. In addition, measurements of body weight alone can be used to determine efficacy of weight loss therapy. BMI is calculated as weight (kg)/height squared (m²). To estimate BMI using pounds and inches, use: [weight (pounds)/height squared (inches²)] x 703.

In this report, overweight is defined as a BMI of 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m² and obesity as a BMI of $\cdot \Box 30$ kg/m². The rationale behind these definitions is based on epidemiological data that show increases in mortality with BMIs above 25 kg/m². The increase in mortality, however, tends to be modest until a BMI of 30 kg/m² is reached. For persons with a BMI of $\cdot \Box 30$ kg/m², mortality rates from all causes, and especially from cardiovascular disease, are generally increased by 50 to 100 percent above that of persons with BMIs in the range of 20 to 25 kg/m².

 Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: The Evidence Report. National Institutes of Health. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute in Cooperation With The National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. September 1998.

Classification of Overweight and Obesity by BMI	BMI (kg/m²)
Underweight	<18.5
Normal	18.5 – 24.9
Overweight	25.0 – 29.9
Obese	≥30.0

Source: Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: The Evidence Report. National Institutes of Health. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute in Cooperation With The National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. September 1998.

Adult Weight Status

Healthy Weight

Based on self-reported heights and weights, 25.8% of Peach County adults are at a healthy weight.

- Similar to national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (33.9% or higher).

"Healthy weight "means neither underweight, nor overweight (BMI = 18.5-24.9).



 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 179]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.

- - US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective NWS-8]
 The definition of healthy weight is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), between 18.5 and 24.9.

Overweight Status

100%

Nearly 3 in 4 Peach County adults (74.2%) are overweight.

- Higher than the Georgia prevalence.
- Higher than the US overweight prevalence.

Prevalence of Total Overweight

(Percent of Overweight or/Obese Adults; Body Mass Index of 25.0 or Higher)



Sources: 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 179]
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control

 and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.
 The definition of overveight is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), greater than or equal to 25.0, regardless of gender. The definition of ooksity is a BMI greater than or equal to 30.0. Notes:

Further, 37.7% of Peach County adults are obese.

- Worse than Georgia findings.
- Worse than US findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (30.6% or lower).

Here, "overweight" includes those respondents with a BMI value ≥ 25 .

"Obese" (also included in overweight prevalence discussed previously) includes respondents with a BMI value \geq 30.



A total of 8.3% of obese adults and 35.8% of overweight (but not obese) adults that their current weight is "about right."

- 61.9% of <u>overweight</u> (but not obese) adults see themselves as "<u>somewhat</u> overweight."
- 29.3% of obese adults see themselves as "very overweight."

Actual vs. Perceived Weight Status

(Among Adults Who Are Overweight/Obese Based on BMI; Peach County, 2012)

Among Adults Overweight But Not Obese (BMI 25.0-29.9) Among Obese Adults (BMI 30+)



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 111] Notes: • BMI is based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.

BMI is based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.
 The definition of overweight is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), greater than or equal to 25.0, regardless of gender. The definition for obesity is a BMI greater than or equal to 30.0.

Relationship of Overweight With Other Health Issues

Overweight and obese adults are more likely to report a number of adverse health conditions.

Among these are:

100%

- Hypertension (high blood pressure).
- High cholesterol.
- Arthritis/rheumatism.
- Activity limitations.
- Diabetes.
- "Fair" or "poor" physical health.
- "Fair" of "poor" mental health.

Relationship of Overweight With Other Health Issues

(By Weight Classification; Peach County, 2012)



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 5, 28, 29, 44, 112, 116, 142, 143] • Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.

The correlation between overweight and various health issues cannot be disputed.

Weight Management

Health Advice

A total of 31.9% of adults have been given advice about their weight by a doctor, nurse or other health professional in the past year.

- Statistically similar to the national findings.
- m Note that 56.4% of obese adults have been given advice about their weight by a health professional in the past year (while more than 4 in 10 have not).
 - This satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target of 31.8% or higher. _



Have Received Advice About Weight in the Past Year From a Physician, Nurse, or Other Health Professional

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 110, 181-182] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Weight Control

Individuals who are at a healthy weight are less likely to:

- Develop chronic disease risk factors, such as high blood pressure and dyslipidemia.
- Develop chronic diseases, such as type 2 diabetes, heart disease, osteoarthritis, and some cancers.
- Experience complications during pregnancy.
- Die at an earlier age.

All Americans should avoid unhealthy weight gain, and those whose weight is too high may also need to lose weight.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

A total of 40.5% of Peach County adults who are overweight say that they are both modifying their diet and increasing their physical activity to try to lose weight.

- Similar to national findings.
- Mote: one-half (49.9%) of <u>obese</u> Peach County adults reports that they are trying to lose weight through a combination of diet and exercise.

Trying to Lose Weight by Both



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 180]

2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Notes:
 Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.

Substance Abuse

In 2005, an estimated 22 million Americans struggled with a drug or alcohol problem. Almost 95% of people with substance use problems are considered unaware of their problem. Of those who recognize their problem, 273,000 have made an unsuccessful effort to obtain treatment. These estimates highlight the importance of increasing prevention efforts and improving access to treatment for substance abuse and co-occurring disorders.

Substance abuse has a major impact on individuals, families, and communities. The effects of substance abuse are cumulative, significantly contributing to costly social, physical, mental, and public health problems. These problems include:

- Teenage pregnancy
- Human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS)
- Other sexually transmitted diseases (STDs)
- Domestic violence
- Child abuse
- Motor vehicle crashes
- Physical fights
- Crime
- Homicide
- Suicide

The field has made progress in addressing substance abuse, particularly among youth. According to data from the national Institute of Drug Abuse (NIDA) Monitoring the Future (MTF) survey, which is an ongoing study of the behaviors and values of America's youth between 2004 and 2009, a drop in drug use (including amphetamines, methamphetamine, cocaine, hallucinogens, and LSD) was reported among students in 8th, 10th, and 12th grades. Note that, despite a decreasing trend in marijuana use which began in the mid-1990s, the trend has stalled in recent years among these youth. Use of alcohol among students in these three grades also decreased during this time.

Substance abuse refers to a set of related conditions associated with the consumption of mind- and behavior-altering substances that have negative behavioral and health outcomes. Social attitudes and political and legal responses to the consumption of alcohol and illicit drugs make substance abuse one of the most complex public health issues. In addition to the considerable health implications, substance abuse has been a flash-point in the criminal justice system and a major focal point in discussions about social values: people argue over whether substance abuse is a disease with genetic and biological foundations or a matter of personal choice.

Advances in research have led to the development of evidence-based strategies to effectively address substance abuse. Improvements in brain-imaging technologies and the development of medications that assist in treatment have gradually shifted the research community's perspective on substance abuse. There is now a deeper understanding of substance abuse as a disorder that develops in adolescence and, for some individuals, will develop into a chronic illness that will require lifelong monitoring and care.

Improved evaluation of community-level prevention has enhanced researchers' understanding of environmental and social factors that contribute to the initiation and abuse of alcohol and illicit drugs, leading to a more sophisticated understanding of how to implement evidence-based strategies in specific social and cultural settings.

A stronger emphasis on evaluation has expanded evidence-based practices for drug and alcohol treatment. Improvements have focused on the development of better clinical interventions through research and increasing the skills and qualifications of treatment providers.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Age-Adjusted Cirrhosis/Liver Disease Deaths

Between 1999 and 2008, there was an annual average age-adjusted cirrhosis/liver disease mortality rate of 13.2 deaths per 100,000 population in Peach County.

- Less favorable than the statewide rate.
- Less favorable than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (8.2 or lower).

Cirrhosis/Liver Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality

(1999-2008 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)



Sources: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted November 2011

 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective SA-11]
 Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification
 Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

High-Risk Alcohol Use

Current Drinking

Notes:

A total of 38.8% of area adults had at least one drink of alcohol in the past month (current drinkers).

- More favorable than the statewide proportion.
- More favorable than the national proportion.



Current Drinkers

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 188]
 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 Asked of all respondents.
 Current drinkers had at least one alcoholic drink in the past month.

"Current drinkers" include survey respondents who had at least one drink of alcohol in the month preceding the interview. For the purposes of this study, a "drink" is considered one can or bottle of beer, one glass of wine, one can or bottle of wine cooler, one cocktail, or one shot of liquor.

m Current drinking is more prevalent among men, younger residents, and those living in the higher income breakout.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 188] Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
 Current drinkers had at least one alcoholic drink in the past month.

Chronic Drinking

A total of 3.0% of area adults averaged two or more drinks of alcohol per day in the past month (chronic drinkers).

- Similar to the statewide proportion.
- Similar to the national proportion.



Sources:

 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 189]
 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data. 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

- Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
 Chronic drinkers are defined as having 60+ alcoholic drinks in the past month.
 *The state definition for chronic drinkers is males consuming 2+ drinks per day and females consuming 1+ drink per day.

Chronic drinkers include survey respondents reporting 60 or more drinks of alcohol in the month preceding the interview.

m Unfavorably high among Peach County men.



- Sources: 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 189]
 Asked of all respondents.
 Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level, "Mild" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
 Chronic drinkers are defined as those having 60+ alcoholic drinks in the past month.

Binge Drinking

A total of 16.8% of Peach County adults are binge drinkers.

- Similar to Georgia findings.
- Statistically similar to national findings.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target (24.3% or lower).



Binge Drinkers

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 190]
 • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
 • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective SA-14.3]

- Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
 Binge drinkers are defined as men having 5+ alcoholic drinks on any one occasion or women consuming 4+ drinks on any one occasion

Binge drinkers include:

RELATED ISSUE:

report.

See also Stress in the

Mental Health & Mental

Disorders section of this

1) MEN who report drinking 5 or more alcoholic drinks on any single occasion during the past month; and

2) WOMEN who report drinking 4 or more alcoholic drinks on any single occasion during

m Unfavorably high among Peach County men, adults under 50 and higher-income residents.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 190] • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective SA-14.3] Notes:

- US Department of Health and Human services. Healthy reopie zezu. Describer 2020. https://mman.com/personal.pers

Drinking & Driving

None of the Peach County survey respondents acknowledge having driven a vehicle in the past month after they had perhaps too much to drink.

Nationally, this percentage is 3.5%.



 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 70]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 Asked of all respondents.

Note: As a self-reported measure - and because this indicator reflects potentially illegal behavior – it is reasonable to expect that it might be underreported, and that the actual incidence of drinking and driving in the community is likely higher. A total of 4.9% of Peach County adults acknowledge riding with a driver who had perhaps too much to drink at some point in the past month.

Similar to the national findings.



Illicit Drug Use

100%

80%

A total of 4.6% of Peach County adults acknowledge using an illicit drug in the past month.

- Similar to the proportion found nationally.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target of 7.1% or lower.





Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 72] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective SA-13.3] Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

For the purposes of this survey, "illicit drug use" includes use of illegal substances or of prescription drugs taken without a physician's order.

Note: As a self-reported measure - and because this indicator reflects potentially illegal behavior - it is reasonable to expect that it might be underreported, and that actual illicit drug use in the community is likely

Alcohol & Drug Treatment

A total of 5.6% of Peach County adults report that they have sought professional help for an alcohol or drug problem at some point in their lives.

Similar to national findings.



Asked of all respondents.

Related Focus Group Findings: Substance Abuse

The focus group participants are concerned with substance abuse in the community. The main issues discussed surrounding substance abuse included:

- Prevalence
- Youth access
- Limited treatment facilities

A number of focus group participants express concern with the **prevalence of substance abuse** in the community, specifically prescription drug abuse, marijuana, cocaine, methamphetamines, and alcohol abuse. Substance abuse impacts so many aspects of a person's life and has an effect on the level of violence in the community. Participants believe that prescription drugs are the drug of choice in the region, used by adults and youth alike, as a participant explains:

"There's been a lot more of the school-aged children – you used to go to the school, you might find some marijuana; now they've swapped over and they're using a lot of prescription medication." — Regional Participant

Peach County participants agree that prescription drug use is rampant in their community, as one emergency service personnel describes:

"Well, when it comes to drugs, it's not as big – we don't have a lot of problem with illegal drugs any more, it's prescription drug that has gone wild in Peach County." — Peach County Participant **Youth can access** both alcohol and prescription drugs from their own homes, so parents need to be educated to lock up their medication and liquor. Education to the whole family is critical to combat substance use. Currently there is a drug awareness week in the schools and the Georgia Meth Project partners with HODAC in Warner Robins.

There are **limited treatment facilities** for individuals with substance abuse problems. The two public treatment centers only accept insured or private pay patients, so access is a major obstacle. There are also only a small number of methadone clinics. A participant explains one program for women:

"HODAC has a facility for young mothers recovering from substance abuse, where they are reunified with their children. We have a very good success rate with our program because it carries them through certain levels, but a lot of areas, they don't have that." — Regional Participant

Tobacco Use

Tobacco use is the single most preventable cause of death and disease in the United States. Each year, approximately 443,000 Americans die from tobacco-related illnesses. For every person who dies from tobacco use, 20 more people suffer with at least one serious tobacco-related illness. In addition, tobacco use costs the US \$193 billion annually in direct medical expenses and lost productivity.

Scientific knowledge about the health effects of tobacco use has increased greatly since the first Surgeon General's report on tobacco was released in 1964.

Tobacco use causes:

- Cancer
- Heart disease
- Lung diseases (including emphysema, bronchitis, and chronic airway obstruction)
- Premature birth, low birth weight, stillbirth, and infant death

There is no risk-free level of exposure to secondhand smoke. Secondhand smoke causes heart disease and lung cancer in adults and a number of health problems in infants and children, including: severe asthma attacks; respiratory infections; ear infections; and sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS).

Smokeless tobacco causes a number of serious oral health problems, including cancer of the mouth and gums, periodontitis, and tooth loss. Cigar use causes cancer of the larynx, mouth, esophagus, and lung.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Cigarette Smoking

Cigarette Smoking Prevalence

A total of 24.4% of Peach County adults currently smoke cigarettes, either regularly (20.2% every day) or occasionally (4.2% on some days).



ources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 184] • Asked of all respondents.

- Less favorable than statewide findings.
- Less favorable than national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (12% or lower).



Environmental Tobacco Smoke

A total of 21.4% of Peach County adults (including smokers and non-smokers) report that a member of their household has smoked cigarettes in the home in the past month an average of four or more times per week.

- Much higher than national findings.
- m Note that 8.6% of Peach County non-smokers are exposed to cigarette smoke at home.



Member of Household Smokes at Home



Member of Household Smokes At Home

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 64] Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

- Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
- Income categories refer respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes household size with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
 "Smokes at home" refers to someone smoking cigarettes, cigars, or a pipe in the home an average of four or more times per week in the past month.

Among households with children, 26.1% have someone who smokes cigarettes in the home.

- Percentage of Households With Children In Which Someone Smokes in the Home 100% 80% 60% 40% 26.1% 20% 12.1% 0% Peach County **United States**
- Much higher than the national proportion.

 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 187]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 Asked among parents of children age 0-17.

 • Smokes at home" refers to someone smoking cigarettes, cigars, or a pipe in the home an average of four or more times per week in the past month.

Other Tobacco Use

Cigars

A total of 8.0% of Peach County adults use cigars every day or on some days.

- Similar to the national percentage.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (0.2% or lower).



Smokeless Tobacco

A total of 3.4% of Peach County adults use some type of smokeless tobacco every day or on some days.

- Comparable to the national percentage.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target (0.3% or lower).



Related Focus Group Findings: Tobacco

Many focus group participants are concerned with tobacco use in the community. The main issues included:

- Prevalence
- Gateway drug
- Smoking cessation programs

Focus group participants feel that cigarette smoking continues to be an issue in the community. The participants worry about the number of residents who develop lung cancer and emphysema due to smoking. The respondents view tobacco use as **prevalent** throughout the community and all socioeconomic groups are affected. Some focus group participants believe tobacco acts as an "escape" for users. Convenience stores in the area will even sell cigarettes individually instead of as a whole pack.

For rural residents (including youth), smokeless tobacco use has become part of the culture. Participants express worry about the possibility that tobacco is a **gateway drug** for youth.

Community residents can access **smoking cessation programs** at the state level; however, smokers have to want to quit. There is agreement that many smokers have not reached that stage.

ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES



Health Insurance Coverage

Type of Healthcare Coverage

Insurance Type

A total of 43.8% of Peach County adults age 18 to 64 report having healthcare coverage through private insurance. Another 26.7% report coverage through a government-sponsored program (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, military benefits).



Prescription Drug Coverage

Among insured adults, 95.9% report having prescription coverage as part of their insurance plan.

Similar to the national prevalence.



Health Insurance Covers Prescriptions at Least in Part (Among Insured Respondents)

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 87] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. Notes: • Asked of all respondents with healthcare insurance coverage.

Survey respondents were asked a series of questions to determine their healthcare insurance coverage, if any, from either private or government-sponsored sources.

Medicare Supplemental Coverage

Among Medicare recipients, 65.9% have additional, supplemental healthcare coverage.

Statistically similar to that reported among Medicare recipients nationwide.

Have Supplemental Coverage in Addition to Medicare

100% 75.5% 80% 65.9% 60% 40% 20% 0% Peach County **United States**

(Among Adults 65+)

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 86] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. Notes: • Asked of respondents age 65+.
Lack of Health Insurance Coverage

Here, lack of health insurance coverage reflects respondents age 18 to 64 (thus, excluding the Medicare population) who have no type of insurance coverage for healthcare services - neither private insurance nor government-sponsored plans (e.g., Medicaid).

Among adults age 18 to 64, 29.4% report having no insurance coverage for healthcare expenses.

- Less favorable than the state finding.
- Less favorable than the national finding.
- The Healthy People 2020 target is universal coverage (0% uninsured).

Lack of Healthcare Insurance Coverage

(Among Adults 18-64)



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey. Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 192] • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.

2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective AHS-1]
 Asked of all respondents under the age of 65.

The following population segments are more likely to be without healthcare insurance coverage:

া Men.

Notes:

100%

Lower-income adults. ŧŴ\$÷

Lack of Healthcare Insurance Coverage

(Among Adults 18-64; Peach County, 2012)



 Sources: 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 192]
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective AHS-1]
 Asked of all respondents under the age of 65.
 Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Recent Lack of Coverage (Insurance Instability)

Among currently insured adults in Peach County, 8.4% report that they were without healthcare coverage at some point in the past year.

Statistically similar to US findings.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 88] Notes: • Asked of all insured respondents.

Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Related Focus Group Findings: Access to Healthcare

Many focus group participants are concerned with access to healthcare. The main issues discussed include:

- Barriers to healthcare services
 - Rural counties
 - o Uninsured and under-insured families
 - o Cost
 - o Transportation
 - o Office hours
- Emergency room utilization
- Emergency services

Focus group participants agree that residents encounter several **barriers** when trying to **access healthcare services** in the community. Throughout the focus groups, the concept that where residents live impacts their ability to access healthcare services arose often. For residents in **rural counties**, accessing a medical doctor can be very difficult. Many of these communities lack even primary care physicians, although residents have access to several community health centers. The community health centers can only serve residents of the counties in which they reside. One participant explains:

"I think the Medical Center and Coliseum actually started the volunteer clinic. It was totally volunteers in terms of the service providers, it's all doctors and dentists who volunteer, and that's a good thing. But it's only available to Bibb County residents. And the Houston County clinic is only available to Houston residents." — Regional Participant

Focus group members have concern for those families who are **under-insured or uninsured** in the community. The underinsured population includes the working poor, those parents who may qualify for employer insurance, but the deductibles are too high or the monthly employee cost is too great, so they elect to go without. Others may only carry catastrophic insurance, foregoing preventive care. Uninsured families may qualify for Medicaid, but finding a provider to accept that insurance can prove difficult. Respondents agree that the number of physicians who accept Medicaid has decreased in recent years, due to a low reimbursement rate.

The **cost** of healthcare and prescription medication can be astronomical for community members and residents have gone bankrupt due to medical bills. The cost of prescriptions can also act as a barrier for patients. A community health center can provide the office visit at a low cost, but the medication is too much to afford. The providers frequently utilize the \$4 Wal-Mart prescription list. A physician describes:

"We can give advice and prescribe, but if you don't go home and take it, for whatever reason, that's a problem. If I prescribe a certain medication and it costs my patient, it's their tier three drug and they live check to check and that extra \$60.00 is a big deal, they're not going to take it." — Regional Participant Getting to the physician's office, community health center or pharmacy is another obstacle to accessing care. Families may have access to only one, or no personal vehicle, and while the public **transportation** system in Macon (Bibb County) is adequate, surrounding rural counties do not have a public bus system. There are several transit systems that will transport patients to appointments, but residents must provide 24 hour notice. A Peach County participant explains the options for their residents:

"We normally don't do a same-day call. When I got there, it was always set up to call at least 24 hours ahead of time, or, overnight, you can leave a message on the answering machine and then I'll get that in the morning and go ahead and schedule you then... Some have appointments in Macon, but we're not allowed to go into Bibb County, so that kind of puts a damper on it. So what they'll do is call me and I'll recommend someone else – another transportation service that they call to take them there and Atlanta because they sometimes call about that too. So I do have other numbers that I can recommend." — Peach County Participant

Physician office hours can also delay a resident's ability to access healthcare. Many residents work multiple jobs or shift work, which make getting to a doctor appointment during normal office hours difficult. These residents do not want to miss work because of the dock in pay. One member describes:

"So they have lost a full day of work or work and childcare, it's not an efficient process, and it certainly does lend itself to people putting off and putting and putting off until it's to the point of its urgent now. And they just didn't want to stop and go to all that trouble when they thought it might get better on its own." — Regional Participant

Focus group participants believe community member over-utilize the local **emergency rooms**. Families go to the emergency room for minor, non-emergent situations, and if the person cannot afford the bill they simply do not pay. An emergency service worker recalls:

"I mean we get called, 'A fever for two hours,' and they had never taken anything to break the fever or anything, just kid just started running a fever, and call an ambulance." — Peach County Participant

Rural county residents express an urgent need for **emergency services**. Jones, Twiggs and Crawford counties do not have any emergency service personnel or local ambulance services. There is much concern for residents that suffer a medical emergency in these communities. Participants would like a substation ambulance in their community to lower the emergency service's response time. A participant explains:

"The county where I live, Twiggs County, we have no ambulance service call. If you have a heart attack or you hit your leg with an ax and sever an artery, it's 35 minutes from the medical center down to Jeffersonville, farther than that, and then 35 minutes back for some locations. Heart attacks, trauma, don't give you an hour and ten minutes to get there."— Regional Participant

Difficulties Accessing Healthcare

Access to comprehensive, quality health care services is important for the achievement of health equity and for increasing the quality of a healthy life for everyone. It impacts: overall physical, social, and mental health status; prevention of disease and disability; detection and treatment of health conditions; quality of life; preventable death; and life expectancy.

Access to health services means the timely use of personal health services to achieve the best health outcomes. It requires three distinct steps: 1) Gaining entry into the health care system; 2) Accessing a health care location where needed services are provided; and 3) Finding a health care provider with whom the patient can communicate and trust.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Difficulties Accessing Services

A total of 44.2% of Peach County adults report some type of difficulty or delay in obtaining healthcare services in the past year.

Similar to national findings.



Experienced Difficulties or Delays of Some Kind in Receiving Needed Healthcare in the Past Year

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 196] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:

Asked of all respondents.
Represents the percentage of respondents experiencing one or more barriers to accessing healthcare in the past 12 months.

This indicator reflects the percentage of the total population experiencing problems accessing healthcare in the past year, regardless of whether they needed or sought care.

Note that the following demographic groups more often report difficulties accessing healthcare services:

ith Women.

100%

Lower-income residents. 榊林

Experienced Difficulties or Delays of Some Kind in Receiving Needed Healthcare in the Past Year





Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 196] Notes:

2012 FRC community fields autres, indexing including incl

Barriers to Healthcare Access

Of the tested barriers, cost impacted the greatest share of Peach County adults (29.5% say that cost prevented them from obtaining a needed prescription medication in the past year; 28.1% say that cost prevented them from seeing a doctor).

- Cost-related barriers are significantly more prevalent than found nationally.
- The prevalence of the remaining tested barriers was statistically comparable to that found nationwide.

Barriers to Access Have Prevented Medical Care in the Past Year



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 7-12] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. 2011 PRC INduotion ----- Asked of all respondents. Notes:

To better understand healthcare access barriers, survey participants were asked whether any of six types of barriers to access prevented them from seeing a physician or obtaining a needed prescription in the past year.

Again, these percentages reflect the total population, regardless of whether medical care was needed or sought.

Prescriptions

Among all Peach County adults, 21.6% skipped or reduced medication doses in the past year in order to stretch a prescription and save money.

Unfavorably high when compared with national findings.



Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Accessing Healthcare for Children

Surveyed parents were also asked if, within the past year, they experienced any trouble receiving medical care for a randomly-selected child in their household. None of the surveyed Peach County parents reported that there was a time in the past year when they needed medical care for their child, but were unable to get it.

• Nationally, this percentage is 1.9%.

Had Trouble Obtaining Medical Care for Child in the Past Year

100%	(Among Parents of Children 0-17)	
80%		
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	0.0%	1.9%
0,0	Peach County	United States

 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 125]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 Asked of all respondents with children 0 to 17 in the household.

Primary Care Services

Improving health care services depends in part on ensuring that people have a usual and ongoing source of care. People with a usual source of care have better health outcomes and fewer disparities and costs. Having a primary care provider (PCP) as the usual source of care is especially important. PCPs can develop meaningful and sustained relationships with patients and provide integrated services while practicing in the context of family and community. Having a usual PCP is associated with:

- Greater patient trust in the provider
- Good patient-provider communication
- Increased likelihood that patients will receive appropriate care

Improving health care services includes increasing access to and use of evidence-based preventive services. Clinical preventive services are services that: prevent illness by detecting early warning signs or symptoms before they develop into a disease (primary prevention); or detect a disease at an earlier, and often more treatable, stage (secondary prevention).

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Specific Source of Ongoing Care

A total of 58.9% of Peach County adults were determined to have a specific source of ongoing medical care.

- Less favorable than national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 objective (95% or higher).

Have a Specific Source of Ongoing Medical Care

[All Ages] Healthy People 2020 Target = 95% or Higher



Sources:

2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 193]
 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective AHS-5.1]

 Asked of all respondents Notes:

When viewed by demographic characteristics, the following population segments are less likely to have a specific source of care:

- Adults under age 50.
- Lower-income adults.
- Blacks.

Having a specific source of ongoing care includes having a doctor's office, clinic, urgent care center, walk-in clinic, health center facility, hospital outpatient clinic, HMO or prepaid group, military/VA clinic, or some other kind of place to go if one is sick or needs advice about his or her health. A hospital emergency room is not considered a source of ongoing care in this

- m Among adults age 18-64, 56.2% have a specific source for ongoing medical care, less favorable than national findings.
 - Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target for this age group (89.4% or higher).



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 194-195] • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objectives AHS-5.1, 5.3, 5.4]

Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories are non-Hispanic trespondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Type of Place Used for Medical Care

When asked where they usually go if they are sick or need advice about their health, the greatest share of respondents (46.9%) identified a particular doctor's office.

A total of 11.1% say they usually go to some type of clinic, while 7.4% rely on a hospital **emergency room** for their medical care.



Sources: Notes:

2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 15-16]
 Asked of all respondents.

Utilization of Primary Care Services

Adults

More than 7 in 10 adults (73.0%) visited a physician for a routine checkup in the past year.

- 100% 80% 73.0% 67.3% 60% 40% 20% 0% Peach County **United States**
- Statistically similar to national findings.

Have Visited a Physician for a Checkup in the Past Year

2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 17]
2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Asked of all respondents. Sources:

Notes

m Adults under age 50 are less likely to have received routine care in the past year, as are Whites in Peach County.



Have Visited a Physician for a Checkup in the Past Year

(Peach County, 2012)

 Sources:
 • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 17]

 • Asked of all respondents.
 • Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).

Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Children

Among surveyed parents, 83.2% report that their child has had a routine checkup in the past year.

Similar to national findings.

Child Has Visited a Physician for a Routine Checkup in the Past Year (Among Parents of Children 0-17) 100% 87.0% 83.2% 80% 60% 40% 20% 0% Peach County **United States**

 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 127]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 Asked of all respondents with children 0 to 17 in the household.

Emergency Room Utilization

A total of 15.2% of Peach County adults have gone to a hospital emergency room more than once in the past year about their own health.

More than twice national findings.



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 23-24] 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
 Asked of all respondents.

Notes:

Of those using a hospital ER, 48.9% say this was due to an emergency or lifethreatening situation, while 26.9% indicated that the visit was during after-hours or on the weekend. A total of 17.9% cited difficulties accessing primary care for various reasons.

m Lower-income residents and Blacks are more likely to have used the ER for medical care more than once in the past year.



Have Used a Hospital Emergency Room

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 23] Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Oral Health

The health of the mouth and surrounding craniofacial (skull and face) structures is central to a person's overall health and well-being. Oral and craniofacial diseases and conditions include: dental caries (tooth decay); periodontal (gum) diseases; cleft lip and palate; oral and facial pain; and oral and pharyngeal (mouth and throat) cancers.

The significant improvement in the oral health of Americans over the past 50 years is a public health success story. Most of the gains are a result of effective prevention and treatment efforts. One major success is community water fluoridation, which now benefits about 7 out of 10 Americans who get water through public water systems. However, some Americans do not have access to preventive programs. People who have the least access to preventive services and dental treatment have greater rates of oral diseases. A person's ability to access oral healthcare is associated with factors such as education level, income, race, and ethnicity.

Oral health is essential to overall health. Good oral health improves a person's ability to speak, smile, smell, taste, touch, chew, swallow, and make facial expressions to show feelings and emotions. However, oral diseases, from cavities to oral cancer, cause pain and disability for many Americans. Good self-care, such as brushing with fluoride toothpaste, daily flossing, and professional treatment, is key to good oral health. Health behaviors that can lead to poor oral health include:

- Tobacco use
- Excessive alcohol use
- Poor dietary choices

Barriers that can limit a person's use of preventive interventions and treatments include:

- Limited access to and availability of dental services
- Lack of awareness of the need for care
- Cost
- Fear of dental procedures

There are also social determinants that affect oral health. In general, people with lower levels of education and income, and people from specific racial/ethnic groups, have higher rates of disease. People with disabilities and other health conditions, like diabetes, are more likely to have poor oral health.

Community water fluoridation and school-based dental sealant programs are 2 leading evidence-based interventions to prevent tooth decay.

Major improvements have occurred in the nation's oral health, but some challenges remain and new concerns have emerged. One important emerging oral health issue is the increase of tooth decay in preschool children. A recent CDC publication reported that, over the past decade, dental caries (tooth decay) in children ages 2 to 5 have increased.

Lack of access to dental care for all ages remains a public health challenge. This issue was highlighted in a 2008 Government Accountability Office (GAO) report that described difficulties in accessing dental care for low-income children. In addition, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) has convened an expert panel to evaluate factors that influence access to dental care.

Potential strategies to address these issues include:

- Implementing and evaluating activities that have an impact on health behavior.
- Promoting interventions to reduce tooth decay, such as dental sealants and fluoride use.
- Evaluating and improving methods of monitoring oral diseases and conditions.
- Increasing the capacity of State dental health programs to provide preventive oral health services.
- Increasing the number of community health centers with an oral health component.
- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Dental Care

Adults

Just over one-half (51.9%) of Peach County adults have visited a dentist or dental clinic (for any reason) in the past year.

- Much lower than statewide findings.
- Much lower than national findings.
- Statistically similar to the Healthy People 2020 target (49% or higher).

Have Visited a Dentist or **Dental Clinic Within the Past Year**



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 21] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective OH-7] • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control • December 2010. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective OH-7] • Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control

and Prevention (CDC): 2010 Georgia data.
Asked of all respondents.

Notes:

Note the following:

- m Persons living in the upper income category report much higher utilization of oral health services (lower-income adults fail to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target).
- m As might be expected, persons without dental insurance report much lower utilization of oral health services than those with dental coverage.

Have Visited a Dentist or **Dental Clinic Within the Past Year**



Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 21] • US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. http://www.healthypeople.gov [Objective OH-7]

Notes:

Asked of all respondents.
 Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Children

A total of 81.8% of parents report that their child (age 2 to 17) has been to a dentist or dental clinic within the past year.

- Similar to national findings.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target (49% or higher).



Dental Insurance

A total of 53.8% of Peach County respondents have dental insurance that covers all or part of their dental care costs.

Statistically comparable to the national finding.



Have Insurance Coverage That Pays

Sources: • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 22] • 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Asked of all respondents. Notes:

Related Focus Group Findings: Oral Health

Many focus group participants discussed oral health in the community. The main issues discussed include:

- Limited number of dentists
- Uninsured population

Focus group participants believe that oral health has an effect on a person's overall health and that it is critical to get regular dental care. Respondents believe that preventative dentistry is very important to an individual's long-term oral health; however, many families face barriers to accessing dental treatment. Many respondents agree that families with dental insurance, and those without, face barriers to care due to the limited number of dentists in the community. Those without dental insurance cannot afford preventative care and this causes serious oral health issues. One member describes:

"They don't have insurance enough to pay for the work that needs to be done, and kids that are in middle school are having their teeth pulled because they've gotten so bad because they couldn't have preventive maintenance." — Regional Participant

Dental health options for uninsured residents are very limited, but include a small dental clinic and the Volunteer Medical Clinic (both located outside Peach County).

Vision Care

A total of 59.0% of residents had an eye exam in the past two years during which their pupils were dilated.

Similar to national findings.



Sources:
 • 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 20]

 Notes:
 • Asked of all respondents.

 • Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents).

Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

HEALTH EDUCATION & OUTREACH



Healthcare Information Sources

Family physicians and the Internet are residents' primary sources of healthcare information.

- 47.4% of Peach County adults cited their **family physician** as their primary source of healthcare information.
- The Internet received the second-highest response, with 25.2%.
 - Other sources mentioned include books or magazines (4.3%) and friends or relatives (3.8%).
- Just 2.7% of survey respondents say that they <u>do not receive any</u> healthcare information.



Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Participation in Health Promotion Events

Educational and community-based programs play a key role in preventing disease and injury, improving health, and enhancing quality of life.

Health status and related-health behaviors are determined by influences at multiple levels: personal, organizational/institutional, environmental, and policy. Because significant and dynamic interrelationships exist among these different levels of health determinants, educational and community-based programs are most likely to succeed in improving health and wellness when they address influences at all levels and in a variety of environments/settings.

Education and community-based programs and strategies are designed to reach people outside of traditional healthcare settings. These settings may include schools, worksites, healthcare facilities, and/or communities.

Using nontraditional settings can help encourage informal information sharing within communities through peer social interaction. Reaching out to people in different settings also allows for greater tailoring of health information and education.

Educational and community-based programs encourage and enhance health and wellness by educating communities on topics such as: chronic diseases; injury and violence prevention; mental illness/behavioral health; unintended pregnancy; oral health; tobacco use; substance abuse; nutrition; and obesity prevention.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

A total of 14.3% of Peach County adults participated in some type of organized health promotion activity in the past year, such as health fairs, health screenings, or seminars.

- Lower than the national prevalence.
- m Note that 26.9% of adults who participated in a health promotion activity in the past year indicate that it was sponsored by their employer.



 Sources:
 2012 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 119-120]

 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

 Notes:
 Asked of all respondents.

The following chart outlines participation by various demographic characteristics.

Mote that residents with lower incomes <u>less</u> often report participation in health promotion activities.



Race categories are non-hispanic categorizations (e.g., "Write" reflects non-hispanic Write respondents).
 Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Related Focus Group Findings: Health Education

Many focus group participants view health education as a critical component in the quest to have healthy community members. The discussion focused on:

- Health Literacy
- Children and adolescents
- Meeting people where they live, work, and play

Focus group participants feel that health education is an important aspect of prevention and improving the overall health of community members. The Central Georgia Health Network and Community Health Works both seek to promote health through prevention education. Several healthcare organizations offer annual health fairs, but only a handful of residents participate.

Overall **health literacy** levels remain low and urgently need to increase. Health literacy would help residents realize the importance of preventative healthcare, medication management, healthy eating and consequences of drug use.

"Because prevention is going to be key to avoiding a lot of these life-long problems from prevention of early pregnancy, STDs, not knowing that if you ever try meth, you're really like to be addicted just once for the rest of your life. I would put education first and foremost because education lasts a lifetime."— Regional Participant The participants believe many organizations can assist in increasing health literacy levels, but there must be a level of personal responsibility to access the education. As a focus group member explains:

"Education is always great, but it's only going to work to a certain extent. If you don't want to get your butt off the couch; you're not going to." — Peach County Participant

The participants believe education and prevention messaging should target **children and adolescents**. Youth need health lessons early and often. The school system has limited opportunities for this type of education and parents may not have the time or the knowledge, so educating youth must come from a variety of organizations. In addition, all aspects of health need to be discussed, including stigmatized topics like teen pregnancy and HIV. A participant explains the road blocks her agency encounters:

"I know that we, personally, have tried to get into the school systems to talk about teen pregnancy and STDs and things like that to incorporate that into their health classes, but the board of education, in both Houston and Bibb, they will not allow you to go into the schools and discuss sex."— Regional Participant

Providing education where **people live**, **work**, **and play** is critical. The health education messaging must occur regularly and the whole family needs to get involved. It is important to remember that children model parental behavior. A physician describes the current health education atmosphere:

"It is a team effort, but it starts, I guess, in a doctor's office. And I see a lot where my patients will come, they have been diagnosed with diabetes, but no one has even thought about sending them to a diabetic education class. And so they're just kind of out there doing whatever they know to do. And the family needs to be a part of it because it changes their whole diet and everything." — Peach County Participant

Focus group participants think a multi-disciplinary approach would work best, including media, hospitals, primary care physicians, schools and the faith community. Churches can play an important role and act as a non-traditional forum for health education, although in many rural communities even getting one agency to organize these efforts may be difficult.

LOCAL HEALTHCARE



Perceptions of Local Healthcare Services



Those with lower incomes are more critical of local healthcare services.



· Race categories are non-Hispanic categorizations (e.g., "White" reflects non-Hispanic White respondents) Income categories reflect respondent's household inco

Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "Mid/High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

Related Focus Group Findings: Specialties

Nearly all of the focus group participants feel the community has a limited number of specialists available. Respondents believe specialists are needed in the following areas: endocrinology, pediatrics, orthopedics, neurology, rheumatology, pharmacy, dialysis centers, social workers, cardiology, surgery, optometry, emergency medical services, and psychiatry. Participants agree that a community member may have access to a basic level of care, but if a patient needs further testing they may have to wait months for an appointment or travel out of the community. A participant describes the need for pediatric neurologists:

"The community really has some unmet needs that we struggle with on a daily basis. Even with specialists. As an agency we struggle with few pediatric neurologists. Right now there is one neurologist in Macon that serves the children, and I know last week, it's four or five months to get an appointment. And that's a long time if you have a child with a neurological need." — Regional Participant

Provider Considerations

Collaboration

Related Focus Group Findings: Collaboration

Participants spent time discussing the varying levels of collaboration occurring in the community between non-profit organizations, law enforcement, faith-based organizations and healthcare facilities. The issues surrounding collaboration included:

- Desire for collaboration
- Challenge for rural communities
- Long-term plan
- Information clearinghouse

Several focus group participants have a strong desire for **collaboration** in the region and believe the community needs agencies to collaborate. Currently only a few collaborative efforts occur. Many agencies operate in silos and do not communicate with one another. The history of not communicating between agencies can hamper current efforts to collaborate. A participant expresses his frustration with the lack of collaboration in Peach County:

"I see us coming together around homecoming, but as soon as homecoming week is over, where's the table? Where was that table? We are not coming back. And the community health problems are still here." — Peach County Participant

Focus group participants feel collaboration is an immediate need because funding is limited and they do not want to duplicate services. In Bibb and Houston County some collaboration does occur to help reduce costs (i.e., Community Health Works). In the **rural communities** there is no organization to help bring agencies to the table and no infrastructure to maintain collaboration efforts. Many rural county residents remain uninvolved in the community because they only "sleep" there but work in another community. There is no real sense of community because of these situations. A member explains:

"The only time they (residents) ever come into the central town is to pay their taxes and buy their tag, and maybe boat. Because the majority of our residents are located on the periphery of the county...closer to where they work. So that sense of community that you need for those things to be effective, really doesn't exist." — Regional Participant

A Peach County participant explains their dilemma:

"The hospital has been very helpful. We had a number of the people in the hospital come out and work. But the community that could help make it better with people, the resources, the mind, and all that, to help make it better, didn't show up – still don't show up." —Peach County Participant Focus group members believe that collaborations can exist, but there must be a **long-term plan**. Social service agencies, faith-based organizations, schools, and healthcare providers need to come together and make sure the more efficient use of resources is utilized. A participant describes an ideal situation:

"I think everybody is out there trying to do their own, so they can have that time on the television or what have you, but I think that if you could just come together, you could really do so much better because everybody has the same ideas. And everybody wants to help, but everybody wants to do it the way that they want to do it versus just coming together as a team." — Peach County Participant

Participants also see a need for an **information clearinghouse**, some type of system where agencies and residents can access information about the resources currently available. Many participants feel residents simply do not know what resources exist and therefore do not use them. Because of limited funding, social service agencies cannot advertise their services, so a clearinghouse could help get the information to the public.

Special Populations

Related Focus Group Findings: Elderly

Many focus group participants discussed elderly care in the community. The main issues included:

- Alzheimer's disease
- Resources for seniors
- Transportation

Many focus group participants have concern about the prevalence of **Alzheimer's disease** in the community. There is no Alzheimer's-specific facility available, just a few nursing homes. Participants do not feel this is the appropriate setting for patients with advanced disease. In addition, participants express concern that mentally ill patients from Central State are being placed in assisted living facilities with this same elderly population.

"Is there an Alzheimer's daycare center or something like that? Not in most communities and especially not in the rural areas...I've noticed that Central State, because they're kind of downsizing, some of those patients are filtering out into the community. I have a lot of mental health patients that used to be housed at Central State and are now in assisted living homes, which is a whole other issue." — Regional Participant

Education is another issue: senior citizens may not know of the **resources** available to them. Source Care Management seeks to keep seniors at home. The program ensures that seniors attend appointments, have suitable living arrangements, and are provided meals, case management and cleaning services; however, residents must chose from a limited number of physicians. Many seniors want to stay with their current physician, so they do not join.

Transportation is also a huge barrier in accessing resources and medical treatment for seniors. One participant explains the concerns she perceives for senior citizens in the community:

"The elderly are not just abused by, say, someone treats them bad, but they're abused by the system as much as they are any individual. You have somebody who waits on a bus or a transit van to come get them – now you're talking about somebody who is 75 or 80 years old, they go to their doctor's appointment and now they're waiting on the van. It's an all-day process that by the time they get home, they are worn out, and they still haven't gotten their medicine yet." — Regional Participant

Related Focus Group Findings: Culture

Many focus group participants discussed culture and its relationship to healthcare. The issues highlighted were:

- Culturally competent providers
- Interpretive services
- Hispanic youth

Focus group members feel that the region is a diverse area. The focus group participants believe that both physicians and social service providers need to possess **cultural competence** to make an impact on an individual's health. Culturally competent providers recognize how culture affects a patient's attitude and can tailor their message accordingly. Having someone who can **interpret** for a non-English speaker is also critical.

"Language is probably the primary thing. Just making sure they understand what you're asking them and know how to take their medicines and when to follow up." — Regional Participant

Respondents feel that the **Hispanic youth** may have additional health issues due to higher levels of teen pregnancy, participation in risky behaviors, prevalence of domestic violence in the homes, and low self-esteem. These issues may arise from a lack of identity, as a participant explains:

"They don't belong to the country where their parents come from, but they don't belong here...We have a Hispanic youth group at our parish and a lot of the issues that come up there are very different than the issues that come up in the mainstream. One of the other things that you're fighting with Spanish-speaking population is the trust factor. They have to feel that if you're bringing them together, to have some training or education that you're not bringing them there to check their cards to see if they're legally here."— Regional Participant